# Impact of Elevated Temperature and [CO<sub>2</sub>] on Spring Phenology and Photosynthetic Recovery of Boreal Norway Spruce

Michelle Slaney

Faculty of Forest Sciences Southern Swedish Forest Research Centre Alnarp

Doctoral thesis Swedish University of Agricultural Sciences Alnarp 2006

## Acta Universitatis Agriculturae Sueciae

2006: 3

ISSN 1652-6880 ISBN 91-576-7052-8 © 2006 Michelle Slaney, Alnarp Tryck: SLU Reproenheten, Alnarp 2006

## Abstract

Slaney, M. 2006. Impact of elevated temperature and [CO<sub>2</sub>] on spring phenology and photosynthetic recovery of boreal Norway spruce. Doctor's dissertation. ISSN 1652-6880, ISBN 91-576-7052-8

In recent decades, several methods have been devised to study the effects of elevated atmospheric  $CO_2$  concentration,  $[CO_2]$ , and temperature on tree and plant physiological responses. This has resulted in the development of a range of systems to expose tree species to elevated  $[CO_2]$  and/or temperature and it has become obvious that there is a strong need for multifactor experiments, which at the tree and stand level can become both a technical and financial challenge. Most available information on the effects of elevated  $[CO_2]$  and increased temperature on trees comes from single factor experiments on seedlings or saplings and the results from these studies may not be directly applicable to mature trees or forest stands.

This thesis is based on data gathered from mature Norway spruce trees growing in whole-tree chambers in northern Sweden, where both temperature and  $[CO_2]$  were controlled according to climate conditions predicted to prevail in the year 2100. As spring is such an important season for boreal tree species, it was this period in which I was most interested. Spring phenology (bud burst and shoot growth) and the timing and extent of photosynthetic recovery was studied in relation to elevated temperature and  $[CO_2]$  over a three-year period.

From the analysis presented herein, phenological development of buds was enhanced with elevated temperature and new shoots emerged 10 to 20 days earlier than in ambient temperature. The duration of the shoot growth period was not affected by  $[CO_2]$  or temperature and while shoot length varied between treatments, neither temperature nor  $[CO_2]$  had any significant effect. These differences could not be explained by an accumulation of temperature sum  $(T_{sum})$  nor could ecophysiological simulation models predict these events. Although the models were not able to predict the regulation of the timing of bud burst, they did provide a clear indication that rest completion in Norway spruce trees growing in northern Sweden takes place near the spring equinox, meaning that high air temperatures are not physiologically effective until late in the spring.

Elevated temperature also enhanced the recovery of the photosynthetic apparatus, as seen in variable chlorophyll fluorescence, apparent quantum yield, and light saturated photosynthesis, but elevated  $[CO_2]$  had no effect during the spring period. While measurements of chlorophyll fluorescence were a reasonable indicator of photosynthetic capacity, they cannot be used as a proxy for photosynthesis measurements since net photosynthesis is so variable and responsive to temperature and  $[CO_2]$ . Rising air temperatures will lead to earlier spring photosynthetic recovery of boreal Norway spruce forests and this will ultimately affect the length of the growing period. The commencement and rate of spring photosynthetic recovery are two of the most important factors governing the potential annual carbon sequestration by boreal forests.

*Keywords*: bud break, chlorophyll fluorescence, climate change, photosynthesis, *Picea abies*, temperature sum, whole-tree chambers

Author's address: Michelle Slaney, Southern Swedish Forest Research Centre, P.O. Box 49, S-230 53, ALNARP, Sweden

We ourselves feel that what we are doing is just a drop in the ocean.

But the ocean would be less because of that missing drop.

-Mother Theresa

# Contents

#### **Introduction**, 7

The global carbon cycle, 8 Climate Change, 9

#### Methods used to study plant responses to climate change, 11

# **Impacts of elevated temperature and [CO<sub>2</sub>] on boreal Norway spruce during spring , 12** Spring phenology, 12 Spring photosynthetic recovery, 14 Techniques for studying spring photosynthetic recovery, 14

#### **Objectives**, 15

#### Materials and Methods, 16

Study site, 16
The whole-tree chamber system, 17 *Chamber design, 18 Temperature and [CO<sub>2</sub>] control, 19 Additional measurements, 20*Spring phenology, 21
Phenological models, 21
Spring photosynthetic recovery, 22 *Chlorophyll fluorescence, 22 Photosynthesis, 22 Additional measurements, 23*

#### **Results and Discussion**, 24

Climatic conditions in Flakaliden, 24 Whole-tree chamber performance, 24 Phenology and phenological models, 27 Chlorophyll fluorescence and photosynthetic capacity, 30 Photosynthesis at shoot and tree level, 33

**Conclusions**, 36

References, 37

Acknowledgements, 43

# Appendix

### **Papers I-V**

This thesis is based on the following papers, which are referred to in the text by the corresponding Roman numerals, I-V.

**I.** Medhurst, J., Parsby, J., Linder, S., Wallin, G., Ceschia, E. & Slaney, M. A whole-tree chamber system for examining tree-level physiological responses of field-grown trees to environmental variation and climate change. *Plant, Cell and Environment* (In review)

**II.** Slaney, M., Wallin, G., Medhurst, J. & Linder, S. Impact of elevated [CO<sub>2</sub>] and temperature on bud burst and shoot growth of boreal Norway spruce. *Tree Physiology* (In review)

**III.** Hänninen, H., Slaney, M. & Linder, S. Dormancy release of Norway spruce under climatic warming: Testing ecophysiological models of bud burst with a whole tree chamber experiment. *Tree Physiology* (In review)

**IV.** Slaney, M., Medhurst, J., Räntfors, M., Hall, M. & Wallin, G. Effects of elevated [CO<sub>2</sub>] and temperature on chlorophyll fluorescence and photosynthesis in Norway spruce during spring.

**V.** Medhurst, J., Wallin, G., Slaney, M. & Linder, S. Spring photosynthetic recovery of boreal Norway spruce at the shoot- and tree-level under conditions of elevated [CO<sub>2</sub>] and air temperature.

# Introduction

The word *boreal* is derived from *Boreas*, the Greek God of the north wind. Boreal forests occur in the uppermost northern hemisphere in regions immediately south of the Arctic Circle (Fig.1). In North America the northern boreal eco-region extends from Alaska through Canada to Newfoundland, and in Europe from Scandinavia across northern Russia and Siberia to the Bering Strait, covering approximately 33% of the world's land surface and encompassing nearly one third of the planets forest area. Global boreal forests are larger than the Amazon rainforest and its forests and peatlands are said to be one of the world's largest 'carbon reservoirs' (Dixon *et al.*, 1994).



Figure 1. The circumpolar range of the Boreal forests (*i.e.* the area in green). The red dot indicates the area in which the Flakaliden study site is located. (after Hare & Ritchie, 1972, as taken from <u>http://www.borealforest.org</u>).

The boreal region typically has podzolic soils and the dominant species include spruce, pine, fir, and larch with a few broadleaved species such as birch, aspen, and alder occurring mostly along waterways and during stand initiation after disturbances such as forest fires, wind-throw or harvesting. Since the latitudinal range defining the boreal region in North America and Europe is not the same, it is more appropriately defined by its climate. The climate in the boreal region has more than one month, but less than four months with a mean temperature above 10 °C with a wide annual temperature variation (*cf.* Walter, 1973). Precipitation is primarily in the form of snow and can be within the range of 40 - 100 cm annually. Since the rate of evapotranspiration generally does not exceed precipitation, the climate in the boreal zone is humid (*e.g.* Havranek & Tranquillini, 1995).

#### The global carbon cycle

Carbon dioxide (CO<sub>2</sub>) in the atmosphere is a 'greenhouse gas', which traps some of the long-wave radiation that would otherwise be lost to space, thus causing the Earth's atmosphere to be warmer than it would otherwise be. Human activities, such as the burning of fossil fuels, and land-use change affect the natural exchange of carbon between terrestrial systems and the atmosphere. Since pre-industrial times the burning of fossil fuels and other human activities have released CO<sub>2</sub> into the atmosphere, which has increased the concentration of atmospheric carbon dioxide [CO<sub>2</sub>] by 30% over the last 150 years (IPCC, 2001). This human-induced change in [CO<sub>2</sub>] is unarguably a significant contributor to global warming and changes in climate have a significant effect on the carbon cycle.

An increased assimilation of carbon by plants (*i.e.*  $CO_2 + H_2O + \text{light} \rightarrow CH_4O + O_2$ ), can be expected with an elevation of  $[CO_2]$  and this could increase the amount of carbon stored in vegetation and below ground (*i.e.* roots and soil organic matter). While photosynthesis (and ocean biota) takes up much of the anthropogenically released  $CO_2$ , it is not able to do so at the same rate that  $CO_2$  is released (IPCC, 2001).

Carbon is retained in terrestrial ecosystems in the living biomass and in soil organic matter and these pools play an important role in the global carbon cycle. The magnitude of additional carbon that can be stored in the terrestrial ecosystems is, however, still quite uncertain. Ecosystem models indicate that terrestrial ecosystems will continue to take up some of the carbon dioxide emissions arising from human activities for a number of decades, but this trend may gradually diminish and forest ecosystems could even become a source of  $CO_2$  (Watson *et al.*, 2000). There are several possible reasons for this. The capacity of ecosystems to sequester carbon may be limited by nutrients or biophysical factors. The rate of photosynthesis for all types of plants will not necessarily increase as  $[CO_2]$  continues to rise, whereas heterotrophic respiration is expected to increase with increasing temperature (IPCC, 2001). It must be said that projections beyond a few decades are highly uncertain due to our current limited understanding of climatic constraints as well as acclimation of the physiological processes and feedbacks among these processes (Watson *et al.*, 2000).

Henceforth, the rapidly rising  $[CO_2]$  has a direct impact on the future climate and this has become an issue of global political and economic importance. Owing to this concern, there has been much research done on the carbon cycle and the global carbon budget as a whole, during the past few decades (*c.f.* Saxe, Ellsworth & Heath, 1998 and references therein). This requires specific knowledge on ecosystem carbon budgets (*i.e.* the amount of carbon that can be stored in a particular ecosystem over a certain time period) and flows and fluxes of carbon in ecosystems. To determine carbon sinks and sources, human impacts such as afforestation and deforestation, fossil fuel combustion, and land-use change have to be studied as well as understanding the complexities in the pathways of carbon in terrestrial biomass.

#### **Climate Change**

Since global warming is now firmly recognised as a serious threat to natural systems (e.g. Watson et al., 2000), the reaction of the global community is to minimise the impact on nature and society by finding ways of lowering greenhouse gas emissions and sequestering some of the atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> in natural vegetation, as well as finding new and alternative energy sources such as biofuels. Climate and climate-related parameters such as temperature, precipitation, soil moisture, and sea level are changing at an unprecedented rate (IPCC, 2001). Changes in land use (predominantly in the tropical forests) accounted for the most significant part of anthropogenic carbon dioxide release during the 19<sup>th</sup> century and it was not until about 1950 that fossil fuel emissions became significantly larger than the source from land-use change (e.g. converting forest land into agricultural land). Present day emissions due to anthropogenic land-use change still amount to around 1 Gt C per year. Since 1900, [CO<sub>2</sub>] has risen from 290  $\mu$ mol mol<sup>-1</sup> to 377  $\mu$ mol mol<sup>-1</sup> in 2004 (Keeling & Whorf, 2005), and is currently increasing at a rate of 1.5 (0.9-2.8)  $\mu$ mol mol<sup>-1</sup> a<sup>-1</sup>, which is mainly due to fossil fuel combustion and deforestation (IPCC, 2001). The prediction is that  $[CO_2]$  will rise to over 500 µmol mol<sup>-1</sup> by 2050 and to almost 700 µmol mol<sup>-1</sup> by 2100, which will result in an increase in global average temperature of approximately 2.5 - 3.5 °C (IPCC, 2001). Furthermore, since CO<sub>2</sub> has a potentially long atmospheric residence time (5-200 years depending on the rate of uptake by different removal processes), it can have a radiative forcing effect over decades, centuries or millennia before natural processes can remove quantities that are emitted (IPCC, 2001). So even if CO<sub>2</sub> emissions stabilised today, it would still take a very long time before atmospheric CO2 concentrations would stabilise.

Predicted increases in mean surface temperatures are in the order of 2-6 °C (Burroughs, 2001), concomitant with this rise in global [CO<sub>2</sub>]. An increase in global air temperature has already been observed and deviations from the global mean temperature (*i.e.* anomaly) have been steadily increasing since 1970 (Fig. 2). In the past 11 years (1995-2005), ten of the warmest years in the entire series since 1856 occurred. It has been estimated that global mean temperature increased by 0.3 to 0.6 °C during the last century. This warming has not been consistent throughout the world, nor it is consistent through time (*cf.* Jones *et al.*, 1999; Jones & Moberg, 2003). The greatest warming as a result of increasing [CO<sub>2</sub>] is expected to be at northern high latitudes (IPCC, 2001). The number of "hot spots" has increased dramatically in the Northern Hemisphere in the last century compared to the past 1200 years (Osborn & Briffa, 2006). The response of forest ecosystems at these latitudes to climate change may be pivotal in determining the future extent to which forests act as net global sinks for atmospheric carbon.

Based on land and ocean surface temperatures, the 1990s was the warmest decade of the millennium (Jones *et al.*, 1999; Jones & Moberg, 2003) and it has recently been reported that the 20<sup>th</sup> century stands out as having unusually widespread warmth, compared to all of the natural warming and cooling episodes during the past 1200 years (Osborn & Briffa, 2006).



Figure 2. Change in global air temperature from 1856 to 2005, based on land and ocean surface temperatures. The key references for this time series are Jones *et al.*, 1999 and Jones & Moberg, 2003. Taken from *http://www.cru.uea.ac.uk/cru/info/warming*.

As trees account for two-thirds of terrestrial  $CO_2$  fixation (Waring & Schlesinger, 1985), increases in temperature and carbon uptake could significantly alter the global carbon budget (Harmon, Ferrel & Franklin, 1990; Vitousek, 1991). The present rates and trends of this carbon uptake in terrestrial ecosystems are however, uncertain.

According to model predictions for northern Sweden, the annual mean temperature is predicted to increase between 2 and 5 °C within the next 100 years (Christensen *et al.*, 2001). Temperature changes have an important role in the processes involving CO<sub>2</sub> assimilation and carbon sequestration. Many of these processes, however, can partially or fully acclimate to long-term changes in temperature (*cf.* Strömgren & Linder, 2002). The mechanisms involved in CO<sub>2</sub> and temperature acclimation are complex and involve many structural and biochemical changes. Increases in temperature may lead to increases in photosynthesis; however, it may also increase autotrophic and heterotrophic respiration rates, which could reduce the size of the terrestrial carbon stock. In some regions, the changes in climate can also reduce plant photosynthesis and reduce the ability of vegetation to capture carbon due to increased drought or temperature stress.

The timing and rate of photosynthetic recovery after winter frosts governs the potential annual carbon uptake by boreal forests and the extent to which climate change will alter the timing of recovery each spring has important implications. Accurate measurements of tree photosynthesis, photosynthetic recovery, and commencement of recovery under the predicted future climate are invaluable for understanding and modelling forest ecosystem carbon exchange and storage.

# Methods used to study plant responses to climate change

Over the past few decades, there has been a mounting interest to determine the effects of climatic change on forests. Scientists are confronted with the challenges of measuring overall plant responses and the influence of elevated temperature and  $[CO_2]$  in forest ecosystems. Despite the striking successes that have been achieved in elucidating the mechanisms that underlie the processes of growth and morphogenesis under controlled laboratory conditions, there is still too little known about these processes in natural surroundings (Larcher, 2003).

In recent decades, several methods have been devised to study the effects of elevated [CO<sub>2</sub>] and temperature on tree and plant physiological responses, which has resulted in the development of a range of systems to expose tree species to elevated [CO2] and/or temperature (cf. Schulze & Mooney, 1994; Pontailler et al., 1998; Saxe, Ellsworth & Heath, 1998). Besides the indoor controlled environments, there are a number of methods, which have been developed for studies in the field. Approaches such as open-top chambers (e.g. Whitehead et al., 1995; Jach & Ceulemans, 1999; Murray et al., 2000), open-sided chambers (Liozon et al., 2000), branch bags (e.g. Barton, Lee & Jarvis, 1993; Kellomäki & Wang, 1997; Saugier et al., 1997; Roberntz, 1999), closed-top chambers (Kellomäki, Wang & Lemettinen, 2000; Sigurdsson, Thorgeirsson & Linder, 2001; Paper I), glass domes with adjustable windows (Urban et al., 2001), and free air CO<sub>2</sub> enrichment (FACE) systems (e.g. Hendrey et al., 1999; Hamilton, Thomas & DeLucia, 2001; Herrick & Thomas, 2001; Körner et al., 2005), have been used to measure plant responses to elevated [CO<sub>2</sub>] and/or temperature. Most of these methods are, however, best suited to the study of seedlings or saplings (cf. Ceulemans, Janssens & Jach, 1999; Norby & Lou, 2004). Hence, most available information on the effects of elevated [CO<sub>2</sub>] and increased temperature on tree species comes from single factor experiments on seedlings or saplings (cf. Badeck et al., 2004; Norby & Lou, 2004) and the results may not be directly applicable to mature trees or forest stands (cf. Ceulemans, Janssens & Jach, 1999; Norby & Lou, 2004). It is therefore obvious that there is a strong need for multifactor experiments, which at the tree and stand level, can become both a technical and financial challenge (cf. Beier, 2004).

To the best of our knowledge there have only been three experiments, with mature field-grown conifers where the impacts of both elevated  $[CO_2]$  and temperature were controlled. The first was a large-scale enclosure, constructed in a small catchment in a Norwegian Scots pine stand (*cf.* Wright, 1998; Rasmussen, Beier & Bergstedt, 2002), a second was using whole-tree chambers (WTC) with temperature and  $[CO_2]$  control, installed around individual Scots pine trees in Finland (*cf.* Kellomäki, Wang & Lemettinen, 2000), and a third was in a 40-year-old stand of Norway spruce in northern Sweden, where WTCs were used to study the interaction between elevated  $[CO_2]$ , temperature and nutrient availability availability (*cf.* Fransson *et al.*, 2001; Wallin *et al.*, 2001; Kostiainen *et al.*, 2004; Comstedt *et al.*, 2006).

# Impacts of elevated temperature and [CO<sub>2</sub>] on boreal Norway spruce during spring

In the boreal zone, there are strong seasonal changes in climate. Physiological and structural traits of Norway spruce are crucial to withstand these variations in climate. At the end of the summer and early in the autumn, when temperatures decrease and days become shorter, Norway spruce trees enter a phase of transition where they develop cold hardiness to prepare themselves for the coming winter. At this point, new leaf and flower buds are produced and gradually move into a state of inhibited growth. This transition is characterised by a number of physiological and structural changes from the level of the cell to the whole-tree and the origins of the mechanisms underlying these processes are unclear (Linkosalo, 2000a). They serve the purpose of keeping vegetative buds dormant while frost resistance increases and photosynthetic activity slows down. During the winter, the trees remain 'dormant' until growing conditions become more favourable in the spring.

So why is spring so important? It is the period when physiological processes 'awaken', ontogenetic development begins, buds start to develop and burst, growth commences, photosynthetic activity increases and the synchrony of all these processes with temperature determines the fate of the actual production of the trees. In the spring, physiological activity of Norway spruce is restored under the influence of phytohormones (i.e. endogenous factors at the molecular and cellular level that coordinate the metabolic and developmental processes of the whole organism), in response to warmer temperatures and/or photoperiod (e.g. Lavender & Silim, 1987). The progress of spring photosynthetic recovery leads to ontogenetic development (i.e. bud development, bud burst, followed by shoot elongation). The timing of these events is crucial in order to maximise the photosynthetic production during the relatively short growing season, while avoiding the risk of spring frost events (Linkosalo, 2000a). The ability of boreal Norway spruce to successfully survive winter and recover from winter dormancy is one of the most important characteristics of spruce ecophysiology. This thesis focuses on the recovery of physiological processes in Norway spruce during spring and examines the possible outcome resulting from climatic warming and increased [CO<sub>2</sub>].

#### Spring phenology

Phenology is the study of the timing of recurring phenomena of plant (and animal) life history in relation to climate. The onset and duration of phenological events varies from year to year, depending on prevailing weather conditions. Phenology is one of the most responsive and easily observable traits that changes in response to climate (*e.g.* Badeck *et al.*, 2004). The main factor determining the timing of bud burst is the occurrence of warm temperatures in spring (*cf.* Hänninen 1995a; Leinonen, Repo, & Hänninen, 1997; Leinonen & Kramer, 2002), but there are also results indicating that the autumn temperatures may affect the timing of bud break

the following spring (Heide, 2003). The duration of phenological events can be long in the boreal zone (Linkosalo, 2000a) and since the phenology of boreal forests is mainly driven by temperature, this affects the start time of the growing season and hence its duration (Kramer, Leinonen & Loustau, 2000). While the pattern and timing of phenological events in spring is quite regular from year to year, phenological observations (*e.g.* Menzel & Fabian, 1999; Ahas *et al.*, 2002) indicate that, in boreal and northern temperate regions, there has already over the last decades been an increase in the length of the growing season. Henceforth, it is crucial to understand the phenological development of boreal forest species so to be able to predict the likely impact on their structure and function under future climatic changes.

Most studies on the effects of elevated  $[CO_2]$  have been on the growth response in terms of biomass and only a few have reported on the spring phenology, *i.e.* bud break and shoot elongation. The reported results do not provide a clear answer to the impact of elevated  $[CO_2]$  on spring phenology, which may be a result of the differences between the studied species (Jach, Ceulemans & Murray, 2001) as well as differences in experimental conditions (*cf.* Linder & Murray, 1998; Murray & Ceulemans, 1998; Ceulemans, Janssens & Jach, 1999). An earlier bud burst was observed for seedlings of Scots pine (*Pinus sylvestris* L.) grown at elevated  $[CO_2]$ . (Jach & Ceulemans, 1999), but Murray *et al.* (1994) reported delayed bud burst of Sitka spruce (*Picea sitchensis* (Bong.) Carr.) when grown in elevated  $[CO_2]$ . Within the ECOCRAFT project (*cf.* Jarvis, 1998) branch bags were used to expose branches of mature trees to elevated  $[CO_2]$ . Bud burst occurred a few days earlier in branch bags, than on non-bagged control branches, which was the effect of increased temperature in the branch bags not elevated  $[CO_2]$  (*cf.* Lee, Overdieck & Jarvis, 1998; Roberntz, 1999).

Ecological simulation models, using present-day climate with data gathered in natural conditions, are rather accurate in predicting phenology and growth of boreal forests, but are not sufficiently robust for predicting changes under future climatic conditions and [CO<sub>2</sub>] (cf. Hänninen, 1995b; Paper III) since our current understanding of the processes controlling spring phenology is an insufficient basis for reliable forecasts (Kramer, Leinonen & Loustau, 2000; Linkosalo et al., 2000; Linkosalo, Häkkinen &. Hänninen, 2006). The rate of ontogenetic development towards bud burst increases with increasing air temperature, *i.e.* the time required for bud burst decreases with increasing temperature (e.g. Sarvas, 1972, 1974; Fuchigami et al., 1982; Cannell & Smith, 1983; Hänninen, 1990a). Several models have been developed to simulate ontogenetic development towards bud burst using arbitrary high temperature units (day degrees, forcing units, etc.) or arbitrary chilling units, either from a fixed date or when a certain amount of chilling or temperature units have accumulated. For model development and testing of the ability to predict changes under climatic warming, actual measurements of bud burst under future climatic conditions should be used.

#### Spring photosynthetic recovery

To estimate the carbon balance of boreal forests, the timing of photosynthetic recovery and seasonal variation in photosynthetic capacity must be considered (cf. Linder & Lohammar, 1981). The commencement and rate of spring photosynthetic recovery are two of the most important factors governing the potential annual carbon uptake by boreal forests (cf. Jarvis & Linder, 2000). Photosynthetic recovery in the spring is strongly related to air temperature (e.g. Troeng & Linder, 1982; Strand & Lundmark, 1995; Lundmark et al., 1998), but an earlier and faster recovery of the photosynthetic apparatus is also influenced by soil temperature and timing of soil thawing (cf. Bergh & Linder, 1999; Strand et al., 2002). Two potentially important environmental limitations to photosynthesis during spring and summer are freezing nights and low minimum air temperatures. When low temperatures inhibit photosynthesis, a parallel decrease in stomatal conductance can be found (DeLucia, 1987). A modelling analysis of Norway spruce annual productivity by Bergh, McMurtrie & Linder (1998) using the process-based model BIOMASS (McMurtrie, Rook & Kelliher, 1990) highlighted the importance of considering the influence of variation in spring temperatures on photosynthetic recovery. They estimated that about 40% of the potential gross primary production (GPP) may be lost each year because of winter damages to the photosynthetic apparatus and slow spring recovery. The opportunity to capture this potential photosynthetic production when temperatures are warmer in the spring and the growing season is longer will inevitably be in the early spring months of March, April and May.

The effect of elevated  $CO_2$  concentration on photosynthetic recovery of boreal conifers is not clear. Wiemken, Kossatz & Incichen (1996) showed that the ability of Norway spruce to resist frost damage was not affected by elevated [ $CO_2$ ]. Simulations of photosynthesis of boreal Scots pine showed an enhanced photosynthetic capacity during the growing season with elevated [ $CO_2$ ], but no change in the photosynthetic capacity during the spring or autumn (Kellomäki & Wang, 1998).

#### Techniques for studying spring photosynthetic recovery

The photosynthetic apparatus function and recovery can be measured in several ways, but those which were used in Papers I and IV, include tree gas exchange, shoot gas exchange, the daily maximum rate of CO<sub>2</sub> assimilation ( $A_{max}$ ) of shoots, light-saturated photosynthetic capacity ( $A_{sat}$ ), apparent quantum yield ( $\phi$ , mol CO<sub>2</sub> mol photons<sup>-1</sup> - defined as the slope of the photosynthetic light response at a low irradiance), and chlorophyll fluorescence. The strong links between the recovery of photosynthetic capacity and air temperature in the spring for boreal Norway spruce foliage (Lundmark *et al.*, 1998) and light-saturated photosynthesis ( $A_{max}$ ) and photochemical efficiency of photosystem II (PSII;  $F_V/F_M$ ) means that the commencement of photosynthetic recovery in warm temperatures should be evident in the photochemical measurements of chlorophyll fluorescence.

As early as 1874 N.J.C. Müller, observed chlorophyll fluorescence with his bare eyes using a suitable combination of coloured glasses (Müller, 1874 as cited by Schreiber, 1983). Although Müller, already at that time, recognised the correlation between chlorophyll fluorescence and assimilation, a systematic means to study this correlation took half a century longer to develop (Schreiber, 1983). In the 1930's, H. Kautsky and his colleagues developed light sensitive devices with signal recorders for measuring the amount of re-emitted light that had been absorbed by green plants. Since photosynthetic processes in plants are so responsive to environmental conditions, measurements of chlorophyll fluorescence are today widely used for assessing plant physiological status and to assess the effects of environmental stress on plants (cf. Öquist, 1987; Krause & Weis, 1984). The amount and kinetics of chlorophyll fluorescence emitted from needles, or leaves, upon an actinic irradiation are an intrinsic fluorescent probe into the primary photochemistry of photosynthesis (Krause & Weis, 1991). Since the recovery of the photochemical efficiency of PSII is strongly temperature dependant (Lundmark, Hällgren & Hedén, 1988) and photosynthesis depends on temperature, it should be possible to use photochemical activity  $(F_V/F_M)$  as a measure of photosynthetic capacity.

# **Objectives**

The main aim of this thesis was to elucidate the impacts of elevated temperature and  $[CO_2]$  on spring phenology and the photosynthetic recovery of boreal Norway spruce in a whole-tree chamber experiment where temperature and [CO<sub>2</sub>] could be controlled. The whole-tree chamber system was considered the best approach to examine physiological responses (Paper I), as it was possible to examine the effects of temperature and [CO<sub>2</sub>] as single factors or in combination. More specifically, I wanted to determine the effect of elevated [CO<sub>2</sub>] and increased temperature on bud and shoot phenology of mature Norway spruce trees (II) in order to see if elevated temperature caused buds to develop earlier, thus prolonging the growing season, and whether or not elevated [CO<sub>2</sub>] affected the bud burst and growth of the shoots. This could have implications on the CO<sub>2</sub> uptake and thus, the amount of carbon that can be potentially sequestered. Furthermore, testing the accuracy of existing phenological models and their ability to predict bud burst under future climatic conditions (III) could give us important insight into how we can improve models so that they can more accurately forecast tree responses to changing climatic conditions.

In the boreal region, there are periods when gas exchange is difficult to measure because of low rates of net photosynthesis and/or freezing conditions. It was therefore, important to determine if chlorophyll fluorescence could be used as a proxy for the spring recovery of photosynthetic capacity of Norway spruce trees, and whether the relationship between chlorophyll fluorescence and photosynthetic capacity during the recovery phase is independent of temperature and [CO<sub>2</sub>] (**IV**). Since the future warming will affect the length of the photosynthetically active period, it is crucial to determine how great the influence of elevated temperature and  $[CO_2]$  is on the timing, rate, and pattern of photosynthetic recovery (**V**).

# **Materials and Methods**

#### Study site

All experiments referred to in this thesis were performed at the Flakaliden experimental research site in Northern Sweden (64°07′ N, 19°27′ E). Flakaliden was chosen in 1986, as a site for a nutrient optimisation experiment, which commenced in 1987. For further details about the treatments and site descriptions see Linder & Flower-Ellis (1992), Linder (1995) and Bergh *et al.* (1999).

The site consists of a Norway spruce stand planted in 1963 with four-year-old seedlings of a local provenance with an initial stand density of about 2500 trees ha<sup>-1</sup>. The climate is characterised by long, cold winters with short day lengths (3-5 hrs) and short, cool summers with day lengths lasting 20 to 22 hours. The annual mean temperature at the site is 2.3 °C and the monthly mean air temperature varies from -7.3 °C in January to 14.6 °C in July (mean for the period 1990 - 2004). The length of the growing season, *i.e.* the period with a daily mean air temperature above +5 °C, is *ca.* 140 days, with a mean air temperature of 11.6 °C (Fig. 3). Mean annual rainfall is 600 mm with approximately one-third falling as snow, which usually covers the frozen ground from mid-October to early May (Bergh *et al.*, 1999).

The soil at Flakaliden is a podzolic, glacial, loamy till with an average depth of approximately 1.2 m and a mean humus layer depth of 30-40 mm (Bergh & Linder, 1999). In winter the soil usually freezes, and often does not thaw until May. Understorey vegetation is of the low-herb type of dwarf schrubs mainly bilberry (*Vaccinium myrtillus* L.) and cowberry (*Vaccinium vitis-idaea* L.) (Flower-Ellis, 1993). The site is at an altitude of 310 m.a.s.l with minimal slope (< 4 %).

The temperature conditions, during the three years of the study, had a large variation within and between the years. Compared to the long-term annual mean air temperature, the mean annual air temperature in 2000 and 2002 was 1.1 °C warmer. The mean annual air temperature for eight of the 15 years from 1990 to 2004 was above the 15-year mean annual air temperature of 2.3 °C (Fig. 3) with 2000 and 2002 being the warmest years during this period.

The spring and summer of 2002 were exceptionally warm and had the warmest April, May, June, and August during the period 1990-2004 (Fig. 4). Notably, it also was the year with the coldest November and December mean temperatures. The year 2003 had the coldest January, and March and April were milder than normal, this was followed by a cool early summer with the warmest July temperatures recorded in the 15-year period of 1990-2004. The spring and early summer of 2004 were mild, but colder than the two previous years and had the same mean annual air temperature as the 15-year mean (*cf.* Figs. 3 & 4).



Figure 3. Annual mean temperature for each year from 1990 to 2004 and the 15-year mean temperature. Black bars indicate the period in which this experiment was carried out and the stripped bar denotes the 15-year annual mean temperature.



Figure 4. The monthly mean air temperature at the Flakaliden site for each year during the study (2002 to 2004) and the long-term annual mean temperatures (1990 to 2004).

#### The whole-tree chamber system

From the long-term nutrient optimisation experiment that began in 1987, one control plot was selected on which to conduct the present experiment. During the spring and summer of 2001, twelve whole-tree chambers (WTC) were installed around twelve individual trees. The enclosed trees were chosen to represent the average tree size, and had when the treatments commenced in mid-August, 2001, an average height of 5.6 m. Three reference trees (R) without chambers were also selected and used as non-chambered control trees. The WTCs were used to examine the long-term physiological responses of field-grown Norway spruce to ambient ( $_A$ ) and elevated ( $_E$ ) atmospheric carbon dioxide (C) concentration and air temperatures (T). The C and T treatments were randomly assigned to each

chamber and in total there were three replicates of four treatments ( $T_AC_A$ ,  $T_EC_A$ ,  $T_AC_E$ ,  $T_EC_E$ ). The [CO<sub>2</sub>] inside the C<sub>A</sub> and C<sub>E</sub> chambers was maintained at 365 and 700 µmol mol<sup>-1</sup>, respectively. The increase in air temperature inside the elevated temperature chambers was altered on a monthly time-step according to estimates made by the Swedish Regional Climate Modelling Programme, SWECLIM, (*cf.* Christensen *et al.*, 2001; Räisänen, Rummukainen & Ullerstig, 2001). SWECLIM predicted that an [CO<sub>2</sub>] of 700 µmol mol<sup>-1</sup> will lead to climate forcing in the Flakaliden area of +2.8 and +5.6 °C during summer and winter, respectively (Fig. 5, Paper I).



Figure 5. The target monthly temperature elevations used at Flakaliden for elevated temperature WTCs (filled circles). Actual mean monthly temperature elevations for the elevated temperature WTCs (open circles) are shown for the year 2002. Means were calculated by pooling all elevated temperature data for each month. Error bars show  $\pm$  one standard deviation (n=6).

#### Chamber design

The WTCs were modular in design and consisted of three main sections; the chamber base (soil compartment), the tree chamber (aboveground compartment), and the cooling unit. The circular frame ( $\emptyset$  3.25 m) of the base and the tree chamber was constructed from aluminium. The walls of the base section and tree chamber were sealed with 0.4 mm transparent PVC film. The base section was approximately 0.4 m in height and the lower part of the PVC-film was covered with soil to provide a seal between the base and the ground. The top of the chamber base was sealed with a combination of the PVC-film and transparent Perspex sheets and sealed around the tree stem to prevent air exchange between the soil compartment and the tree chamber. To allow any soil disturbances to subside, the base sections were installed in September 2000, eight months before the tree chambers were installed. Two micro-sprinklers were installed under the chamber floor so that the trees could be irrigated with the same amount of water that was measured by rain gauges outside the WTCs.



Figure 6. Picture and schematic diagram of the whole-tree chambers. (For a detailed description of the whole-tree chamber system showing the scale and describing all components outlined in the diagram, see Paper **I**, Fig. 1).

Each chamber was composed of two sections. The bottom section had a height of 2.5 m and the top section of the tree chamber was conical in shape and had a height of 3.0 m (Fig. 6). The WTC system is described in detail in Paper I.

#### Temperature and [CO<sub>2</sub>] control

Air from the top of the WTC was drawn over a heat exchanger inside the cooling unit by a powerful fan at a rate of approximately 8000 m<sup>3</sup> h<sup>-1</sup>. Circulating glycol cooled to dew point temperature by a 150 kW refrigeration unit controlled the temperature of the heat exchanger. Conditioned air was then returned from the cooling unit at the base of the tree chamber. A series of dampers controlled the volume of circulating air that passed over the heat exchanger and the volume that bypassed the heat exchanger. Elevated temperature regulation was achieved by a combination of reducing the amount of air passing over the heat exchanger, and the use of two heating elements installed in the circulating air pathway in each WTC (Paper I). The air temperature inside each WTC was measured using a shielded and ventilated thermistor at a height of approximately five metres. Ambient outside air temperature was also measured with a shielded and ventilated thermistor. Air temperature inside and outside the WTCs was measured at threeminute intervals and the average was logged at 42-minute intervals. Daily mean air temperature was calculated for the duration of the experiment as well as the daily temperature sums, using a threshold of 0 and 5 °C (Papers I-V).

Fresh air was continuously added to the WTCs at approximately 54 m<sup>3</sup> h<sup>-1</sup>, a rate which was close to the internal chamber volume of 56.3 m<sup>3</sup> (including air circulation pipe and cooling unit). This means that the volume of air in the chamber was exchanged every hour. During the winter months, the volumetric fresh airflow into the chambers was reduced to approximately 42 m<sup>3</sup> h<sup>-1</sup>, and hence the cost for CO<sub>2</sub> and heating required to maintain treatment levels during the 'dormant' season was reduced. This was achieved by placing perforated caps over the fresh air inlet during each winter and removing them in early spring.

An infra-red  $CO_2$  gas analyser in each chamber was used to measure chamber  $[CO_2]$  at 90-second intervals. To maintain the set target  $[CO_2]$ , pure  $CO_2$  was injected into the circulating chamber air through a magnetic valve. The pure  $CO_2$  was supplied from a set of tanks containing liquefied  $CO_2$ . A pressure regulator on the  $CO_2$  line from the tanks maintained a constant  $CO_2$  pressure to the WTCs (Paper I).

Sample air was drawn continuously from each of the WTCs and from a 12 m high mast (reference air) in close proximity to the WTCs. The concentration of  $CO_2$  and  $H_2O$  in the sample air was measured in sequence by means of a differential  $CO_2/H_2O$  infra-red gas analyser.

#### Additional measurements

Incident photosynthetic photon flux density (PPFD,  $\mu$ mol m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>) was measured using a quantum sensor (LI-190SA, Li-Cor, Lincoln, Nebraska, USA) mounted on an 11 m mast in close proximity to the WTCs and was measured at three-minute intervals and averaged for the measurement cycle of each WTC (approximately 42 min). Intercepted PPFD was calculated using the Lambert-Beer law and assuming an extinction coefficient (*k*) of 0.46 (Lagergren *et al.*, 2005) and LAIs based on allometric relationships derived from previous tree harvests in Flakaliden. At the shoot level, PPFD was measured with a levelled cosine-corrected quantum sensor attached to each cuvette.

Pre-dawn needle water potential  $(\psi_{pd})$  measurements were made on all WTC trees and three adjacent trees not enclosed within a WTC. For each tree, one-year-old shoots were sampled from the ninth whorl and the  $\psi_{pd}$  of individual needles was immediately measured using a pressure chamber (Papers I, IV & V). These measurements were made periodically throughout the spring and summer during the experiment.

A single thermistor was installed under each WTC at a soil depth of 10 cm to provide continuous soil temperature measurements. The temperature of non-WTC (and WTC) air was measured using shielded and ventilated thermistors at a height of approximately five metres.

#### Spring phenology

On each of the twelve WTC trees and the three non-chambered trees, branch terminal buds were monitored from mid-April to mid-June, each year (2002-2004). Bud development was monitored on first order shoots of two south-facing branches on the fifth and six whorls from the top of the tree. Bud development monitoring was conducted on two or three occasions per week. Development was recorded according to a six-class classification system (Fig.7, Paper II). The classification of bud development was based on a classic method by Langlet (1960) that was later used in a somewhat modified form by Krutzsch (1973) and Hannerz (1999).



Figure 7. A side and top-view of Bud Development Classes. The shoot length was measured from the centre of the previous years needles, which covered the bud, to the top of the new needles (indicated by the bracket for class E). For a description of bud development classes, see Paper II, Fig. 2. Illustration by Torkel Welander.

Once bud scales had fallen and shoots were measurable ( $\sim$ 2 mm of fresh green needles), shoot growth was recorded until the length did not change for three consecutive weeks. Shoots were measured, using a digital calliper, from the middle of the uppermost needles of previous year shoots (see Fig. 7), which are aligned parallel at the base of the new shoots and were once covering the buds (*cf.* Romell, 1925; Krutzsch, 1973).

#### **Phenological models**

Models of bud burst are useful tools for assessing the probable effects of the predicted climatic warming on the timing of bud burst (Cannell, 1985; Cannell & Smith, 1986; Murray, Cannell & Smith, 1989; Hänninen, 1990b, 1991; Kramer, 1994b; Murray *et al.*, 1994; Linkosalo *et al.*, 2000). Using models of bud burst Murray *et al.* (1989) found that depending on the local climate and the requirement of the trees, climatic warming may either hasten or delay bud burst, or cause no change in the timing. In the boreal conditions climatic warming has been both predicted (Hänninen, 1990a, 1991; Linkosalo *et al.*, 2000) and found to hasten bud burst of the trees (Hänninen, 1995b).

For development and testing of the models, three major approaches were applied (*i.e. Fixed Day Model*, the *Sarvas Chilling Model* and the *Landsberg Chilling Model*). Actual observations of bud development and bud burst were used (Paper II) to test the three different modelling approaches in order to determine which was sufficiently robust in predicting the observed date of bud burst under  $[CO_2]$  and temperature conditions predicted for the end this century. For a description of these different approaches, see Paper III.

#### Spring photosynthetic recovery

The impact of climate on the pattern and extent of seasonal photosynthetic recovery was studied by using the whole-tree chamber system (Paper I), the automated measurements of canopy and shoot gas exchange, combined with measurements of chlorophyll fluorescence (*cf.* Lamontagne, Bigras & Margolis, 2000; Lundmark, *et al.*, 1998; Strand & Lundmark, 1995) and repeated sampling of foliage for analysis of needle chemistry (mineral nutrients and carbohydrates). This enabled spring recovery to be linked from the photosynthetic biochemical level (Paper IV) to the level of shoot (Papers IV & V), and canopy photosynthesis (Paper V).

#### Chlorophyll fluorescence

Maximum photochemical efficiency of one-year-old shoots was measured on several occasions from March to June 2002, using a pulse amplitude modulated fluorometer. Measuring photochemical efficiency of chlorophyll fluorescence *i.e.* maximum photochemical efficiency of photosystem II (PSII) was considered an appropriate index of PSII activity as it minimises the effects on chlorophyll fluorescence yield caused by differences in excited needle area and chlorophyll content (Lundmark, Hällgren & Hedén, 1988). Fully exposed, second order oneyear-old shoots were collected from each WTC (from the sixth to eighth whorl). Shoots were sampled from the northern and southern aspects of each tree and were dark acclimated at 0 °C for one hour. Chlorophyll fluorescence was measured at room temperature, in complete darkness by attaching each shoot to a bundle of optic fibres. To obtain minimum dark fluorescence yield  $(F_0)$ , each sample was exposed to a weak measuring beam from a pulsed light-emitting diode. Maximum fluorescence yield  $(F_{\rm M})$  was determined by exposing the dark-adapted samples to a 1-s saturating pulse of white light (about 8000 µmol m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>) from a modified Schott fibre illuminator, closing all reaction centres. The ratio of variable fluorescence  $(F_V = F_M - F_0)$  to  $F_M$  is a relative measure of the magnitude of the maximum variable fluorescence emitted from PSII and was used as the index of PSII function (cf. Strand & Lundmark, 1995; Lundmark et al., 1998).

#### Photosynthesis

The WTC and non-WTC ambient air was analysed for  $[CO_2]$  in a continuous sequence by a  $CO_2/H_2O$  infra-red gas analyser (Paper V). The net  $CO_2$  flux of each tree in the WTCs was calculated using the volumetric flow and  $[CO_2]$  of air entering the WTC via the fresh air inlet and the amount of pure  $CO_2$  injected into

the WTC to maintain the WTC air at the set  $[CO_2]$ . Tree net  $CO_2$  assimilation rates were expressed on a projected needle area basis using an allometric relationship to estimate total tree needle area (S. Linder, unpublished data).

Light-saturated photosynthetic rate of CO<sub>2</sub> assimilation ( $A_{sat}$ ) and daily maximum net shoot CO<sub>2</sub> exchange ( $A_{max}$ ) were respectively measured, on a single one-year-old shoot on the fifth or sixth whorl from the top of each tree enclosed within the WTCs from January 1 to June 30 (Papers IV & V). A 55-mm portion of each shoot was enclosed in a temperature-controlled, 0.15-litre cuvette fitted with a transparent Perspex (Plexiglas) top. Air from the shoot cuvette and a parallel cuvette containing reference air was passed through an infra-red gas analyser running in open mode with 36 parallel channels, of which 15 were used in the present studies. Airflow rates were regulated with mass flow controllers. The CO<sub>2</sub> exchange of each shoot was measured for 30 s at 30-min intervals and expressed on a projected needle area basis. Projected needle area was measured from scanned images of the needles, using the WinSEEDLE Pro 5.1a software. Daily maximum net CO<sub>2</sub> fluxes were used as an index of the photosynthetic capacity of shoots (*cf.* Wallin *et al.*, 2001).

Daily mean apparent quantum yield was calculated from the initial slope of the light response curve of net shoot assimilation rate, thus eliminating the effect of variations in irradiance within the trees and thus enabling comparisons of photosynthetic efficiency of different shoots in different treatments (Troeng & Linder, 1982). In Papers IV and V daily mean apparent quantum yield ( $\phi_{shoot}$ , mol CO<sub>2</sub> mol<sup>-1</sup> photons) was calculated using shoot net CO<sub>2</sub> assimilation rates measured at light intensities between 0.5 and 80 µmol m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>, from January 1 to June 30 2002. Tree apparent quantum yield ( $\phi_{tree}$ , mol CO<sub>2</sub> mol<sup>-1</sup> photons) was calculated using rates when intercepted photosynthetic photon flux density (PPFD) levels were between 10 and 80 µmol m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>.

#### Additional measurements

Shoots were destructively sampled for measurement of starch, sugar, carbon and nitrogen concentrations on nine occasions throughout the spring and early summer 2002 (Paper V). Current and one-year-old shoots (previous year) were taken from the seventh whorl from the top of each tree and immediately immersed in liquid nitrogen. Samples were taken from all 12 WTC trees. Samples were then stored at -18 °C until they were dried at 70 °C for 48 hours and then processed in the laboratory. The carbon and nitrogen analyses were conducted using an elemental combustion analyser (Carlo Erba, NA 1500, Carlo Erba Strumentazione, Milan, Italy). Starch and sugars were analysed enzymatically, according to Steen & Larsson (1986), slightly modified (50 mg sample extracted 60 min at 90 °C, Termamyl 300 L) (*cf.* Bergh & Linder (1999)). To avoid dilution effects caused by seasonal changes in needle carbohydrates, nutrient concentrations were expressed on a 'structural mass' basis. Structural mass was calculated by removing the mass of starch, glucose and fructose (*cf.* Linder, 1995).

# **Results and Discussion**

#### **Climatic conditions in Flakaliden**

The mean monthly temperature conditions during the three years of the study had a large variation within and between years (Figs. 3, 4, 8). The climate at the Flakaliden study site is typical of what characterises a boreal climate, *i.e.* there is more than one month, but less than four months, with a mean temperature above 10 °C (*cf.* Walter, 1973). According to the current definition, Flakaliden will no longer be defined as boreal if the predicted climate for 2100 prevails, as there will be more than three months with mean monthly temperatures above 10 °C (Fig. 8). Instead, Flakaliden will have a northern temperate climate.



Figure 8. The coldest and warmest monthly mean temperature during the period in which this study occurred (2002 to 2004) compared to the monthly mean temperature from 1990 to 2004 and annual mean temperature for the 15-year period, and the SWECLIM projection of monthly temperatures in 2100.

#### Whole-tree chamber performance

The whole-tree chamber system enabled a very accurate control of temperature (Table 2). Shown from the performance tests (Paper I), the temperature regulation in the T<sub>E</sub>-WTCs was within ±0.5 °C of the target temperature for 99% of the time during the test periods. During the winter period, when the cooling capacity was reduced, the accuracy of the temperature regulation in T<sub>A</sub>-WTCs decreased (±0.5 °C of ambient temperature for 54%), but when full cooling capacity was reinstated in the spring, the temperature was within ±0.5 °C of ambient temperature 89% of the time. The good performance of the WTCs can also be seen in the small differences in temperature sums between ambient air and WTCs operated at ambient air temperatures (Paper II, Table 1; Paper IV, Fig. 2; Paper V, Table 1), and the similar maximum net shoot CO<sub>2</sub> exchange ( $A_{max}$ ) rates obtained in the T<sub>A</sub>C<sub>A</sub> trees as compared with non-chambered trees (Paper V, Fig. 2). Strict temperature control, as demonstrated by the WTCs, enables important insights into the interactive effects of  $[CO_2]$  and temperature on tree functioning while avoiding misleading conclusions that can result from experiments with confounding effects of temperature on tree responses to the primary variable of interest, *e.g.*  $[CO_2]$ , which can be a problem with systems that lack temperature control (*cf.* Saxe, Ellsworth & Heath, 1998).

Table 2. Whole-tree chamber (WTC) temperature control by temperature treatment. Note that during February the temperature control was deliberately reduced to minimise power consumption over the winter months. Temperatures measured on a 42-min cycle from each WTC were pooled by temperature treatment (n=6).  $T_A$  is ambient temperature and  $T_E$  is elevated temperature.

Treatment	Target temperature elevation (°C)	Difference from target (°C)	Standard Deviation	10 <sup>th</sup> percentile (°C)	90 <sup>th</sup> percentil e (°C)			
14—28 February 2002								
C <sub>A</sub>	0	+0.6	±0.6	0.0	+1.3			
$C_E$	+4.7	+4.8	±0.2	+4.6	+5.0			
3—17 May 2002								
$C_A$	0	0.0	±0.4	-0.3	+0.3			
$C_E$	+3.1	+3.1	±0.2	+3.0	+3.4			

The whole-tree chamber system enabled very accurate  $[CO_2]$  control (Paper I, Table 3) in C<sub>E</sub>-WTCs. The injection of CO<sub>2</sub> directly into the circulating air stream from the cooling unit facilitated good mixing of the CO<sub>2</sub> and prevented vertical  $[CO_2]$  gradients from developing within the WTCs and the high frequency of  $[CO_2]$  measurements (every 90 seconds), enabled the system to maintain a tight control over the  $[CO_2]$ .

The [CO<sub>2</sub>] in the C<sub>E</sub>-WTCs was between 690 and 710  $\mu$ mol mol<sup>-1</sup> for 98% and 93% of the time for the winter and spring periods, respectively (Paper I). During the winter period, the target [CO<sub>2</sub>] of 365 µmol mol<sup>-1</sup> was not accurately achieved in  $C_A$ -WTCs; and was between + 10 µmol mol<sup>-1</sup> for only 5% of the time. During the spring period, the  $[CO_2]$  was between  $\pm 10 \ \mu mol \ mol^{-1}$  for 51% of the time. The lower accuracy of the target [CO<sub>2</sub>] in C<sub>A</sub>-WTCs during winter can be explained by the naturally high [CO<sub>2</sub>] of the ambient air that entered the chambers. The  $[CO_2]$  of  $C_A$ -WTCs during the winter test period was approximately +20  $\mu$ mol  $mol^{-1}$  above the target [CO<sub>2</sub>] of 365 µmol mol<sup>-1</sup> (Table 3), but this deviation (<10%) above the target was not considered to have any physiological consequences for the trees since they were not active during that time of year. In retrospect the target [CO<sub>2</sub>] should have been 20 µmol mol<sup>-1</sup> higher than the ambient target  $[CO_2]$  of 365 µmol mol<sup>-1</sup>, to encompass the annual fluctuation of [CO<sub>2</sub>] in high northern latitudes (Keeling, Chin & Whorf, 1996). A higher target [CO<sub>2</sub>] would have enabled a better control of [CO<sub>2</sub>] during the winter and been able to compensate for night-time respiration during summer.

Table 3. Regulation of  $[CO_2]$  in the whole-tree chambers (WTC) by  $[CO_2]$  treatment. The  $[CO_2]$  values measured by the central IRGA on a 42-min cycle from each WTC were pooled by  $[CO_2]$  treatment. C<sub>A</sub> is ambient  $[CO_2]$  and C<sub>E</sub> is elevated  $[CO_2]$ , n=6.

Treatment	Target [CO <sub>2</sub> ] (µmol mol <sup>-1</sup> )	Difference from target (µmol mol <sup>-1</sup> )	Standard Deviation	10 <sup>th</sup> percentile (µmol mol <sup>-1</sup> )	90 <sup>th</sup> percentile (µmol mol <sup>-1</sup> )		
14—28 February 2002							
$C_A$	365	+20.1	±5.0	+15.3	+23.5		
$C_E$	700	+2.4	±3.3	-0.8	+5.3		
3—17 May 2002							
$C_A$	365	+8.9	±14.9	-6.5	+25.0		
$C_E$	700	-1.6	±5.8	-7.4	+3.5		

To illustrate the ability of the WTC system to continuously measure physiological performance of mature trees in response to climate change, the maximum day-time rate of net CO<sub>2</sub> uptake and night-time respiration for one tree in an ambient temperature and [CO<sub>2</sub>] chamber ( $T_AC_A$ ) during one year (2003) is shown (Fig. 9). The maximum tree net CO<sub>2</sub> uptake rate was 6.1 µmol m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup> which was measured during August. The maximum respiration rate during June and July was 1.2 µmol m<sup>2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup> and the cumulative respiration for the entire year was 17% of the cumulative photosynthesis. The  $T_AC_A$  tree showed a late spring recovery of photosynthetic activity during March to April and an early decline in photosynthetic activity during October to November (Paper I, Fig. 8a).



Figure 9. Annual course of net CO<sub>2</sub> exchange of a tree exposed to ambient temperature and  $[CO_2]$ ,  $T_AC_A$  throughout 2003. Values shown are the maximum rates of day-time net CO<sub>2</sub> uptake and night-time respiration, respectively. In July 2003 the stem diameter at breast height (1.3 m) was 84 mm. Tree surface needle area was estimated using allometric relationships derived from an earlier destructive harvest at the Flakaliden site (B. Sigurdsson, unpublished data). The estimated needle area was 13.0 m<sup>2</sup>.

#### Phenology and phenological models

The large variation in spring temperatures, between and within the years of the study (Figs. 3, 4 & 8), resulted in pronounced effects on bud development and timing of bud burst (Paper II, Fig. 5). Elevated temperature significantly accelerated bud development and was significantly faster than in T<sub>A</sub> and nonchamber reference trees (Paper II). Buds on the T<sub>A</sub>-WTCs trees took a longer time to develop compared to those in the T<sub>E</sub>-WTCs, with new shoots emerging 10 to 20 days later (Paper II, Fig. 5). Since bud development and timing of burst were similar for CA and CE-WTCs, this indicated that an elevated [CO2] of 700 µmol mol<sup>-1</sup> had no significant effect on development nor was there any significant interaction effect of [CO<sub>2</sub>] and temperature (Paper II, Tables 2 & 3). The ranking of bud burst between treatments was consistent every year despite the 6 to 10 day difference of when it occurred and there was little between-tree variation in the day of year when bud burst occurred between treatments. Trees in T<sub>E</sub>-WTCs broke bud approximately two weeks earlier than those in T<sub>A</sub>-WTCs, which were 4 to 6 days earlier than non-chamber trees. When using temperature sum (T<sub>sum</sub>) to determine how many day degrees were required for bud burst across all treatments and years with starting points from January  $1^{st}$  or April  $1^{st}$ , thresholds >0, >1, >2, >3, >4 and >5 °C gave more variation than using the same thresholds from April  $1^{st}$ . The smallest variation within and between treatments was obtained with  $\geq 0$  °C from April 1<sup>st</sup>. In a study using black cottonwood, Sigurdsson (2001) found the best T<sub>sum</sub> when accumulating day degrees (>0 °C) from March 1<sup>st</sup> rather than January 1st.

Given the exceptionally early and warm spring in 2002, the fastest relative shoot growth was observed in that year (Paper II). While the pattern and duration of shoot growth varied between years and treatments (Fig. 10) the duration of the shoot growth period was not affected by  $[CO_2]$  or temperature. The time it took for shoots to reach 90% of their final length after bud burst occurred was different between years, but similar between treatments. In the early spring of 2002, it took two weeks or less for shoots to reach 90% of their final length after bud burst while



Figure 10. Shoot length for all trees in each treatment during 2003. Each point is an average of six shoots (three trees and two shoots per tree). Symbols and abbreviations: open square  $(T_AC_A)$  = ambient temperature and ambient [CO<sub>2</sub>]; filled square  $(T_AC_E)$  = ambient temperature and elevated [CO<sub>2</sub>]; open triangle  $(T_EC_A)$  = elevated temperature and ambient [CO<sub>2</sub>]; filled triangle  $(T_EC_E)$  = elevated temperature and elevated [CO<sub>2</sub>], and open circle (R) = non-chambered reference trees.

in 2003 and 2004, an extra 10 days were required to reach the same stage of shoot development (Paper II, Table 3). Although there were differences in the final shoot length of all trees between years and treatments, the effect of  $[CO_2]$  and temperature was not significant on final shoot length either year (Paper II, Table 3).

When comparing the relative growth rate of shoots between treatments after bud burst, the differences between treatments were obvious (Fig. 11, left). Although non-chambered trees were the last to break bud (Fig. 10), they appeared to have the highest relative growth rate. Since these shoots had no CO<sub>2</sub> enrichment or temperature elevation, the growth rate reflected the temperature conditions during the shoot development period. When these growth rates were plotted against  $T_{sum}$  ( $\geq$ 5 °C), the differences between treatments disappeared (Fig. 11, right; Paper II, Fig. 7). Hence the prevailing temperature in spring was predominantly responsible for the commencement and rate of shoot development during each year since the differences were not explained in relation to  $T_{sum}$ .



Figure 11. Shoot length in per cent of final length vs. days after bud burst (left) and temperature sum after bud burst (right) in 2003. Symbols and abbreviations: open square  $(T_AC_A)$  = ambient temperature and ambient [CO<sub>2</sub>]; filled square  $(T_AC_E)$  = ambient temperature and elevated [CO<sub>2</sub>]; open triangle  $(T_EC_A)$  = elevated temperature and ambient [CO<sub>2</sub>]; filled triangle  $(T_EC_E)$  = elevated temperature and elevated [CO<sub>2</sub>], and open circle (R) = non-chambered reference trees.

Temperature sums cannot be used to predict bud burst accurately, at the tree or stand level, because of large within-tree variations, between-tree variations (*e.g.* Romell, 1925: Žumer, 1968, 1969; Roberntz, 1999), and provenances (*e.g.* Langlet, 1960; Hannerz, 1999), and the unpredictable temperature climate from year to year. Although the results (Paper I) paint a clear picture for the 6<sup>th</sup> - 7<sup>th</sup> whorl (from the top), there are still certain variations that exist. Since bud development and bud break in conifers is not synchronous within the canopy and proceeds from the bottom and moves upward (*cf.* Kozlowski & Ward, 1961), one cannot expect to find a single temperature sum that could predict bud burst at the whole-tree level.

Elevated [CO<sub>2</sub>] did not alter the commencement or the rate of bud development, nor did it have any effect on time of bud break, the final length of shoots or the duration of the growth period. Similar results, from experiments using mature trees, have been reported for Norway spruce (Roberntz, 1999) and Scots pine (Kilpeläinen *et al.*, 2006). The fact that all differences in shoot growth across treatments and between years disappeared when relative shoot growth was normalized and compared to  $T_{sum}$  indicated that the duration of shoot growth in boreal Norway spruce was determined by the time taken to accumulate a certain  $T_{sum}$  to reach final length. Further to that, the smallest deviation from the mean  $T_{sum}$  between years and across treatments was obtained when a threshold value of  $\geq 0$  °C was used and calculated from April 1<sup>st</sup>, which agreed with the model analysis using the same data (Paper III).

Several simulation models have been developed for describing the effects of air temperature on timing of bud burst in the temperate and boreal trees (for reviews, see Hänninen 1990a, 1995a, Hunter & Lechowicz 1992; Kramer 1994a,b, 1996; Chuine, Cour & Rousseau, 1998, 1999; Häkkinen, 1999a; Linkosalo, 2000a; Chuine, 2000; Chuine, Kramer & Hänninen, 2003). Three such models were tested (Paper III), where the Fixed Day Model had the highest accuracy of the tested models, while inconsistent results were obtained for the temperature response of the ontogenetic development (Paper III, Fig. 4a). This suggests that high air temperatures were not physiologically effective until late in the spring. From the models used in this study, it was not possible to estimate the proper air temperature response with the optimisation method. One of the reasons for the difficulties in using this approach to predict ontogenetic development is owing to the fact that the simulation models only use the timing of the end point (i.e. bud burst) to describe a physiological process that lasts several months. Another shortcoming lies in the fact that some of the sub-models underlying phenological processes in the models are fixed on the basis of results from previous studies (e.g. Sarvas, 1972, 1974; Häkkinen, Linkosalo & Hari, 1998; Häkkinen, 1999b; Linkosalo, 2000b; Linkosalo et al., 2000) often with different tree species than those being used for model development and testing (cf. Hänninen, 1995a; Linkosalo, 2000b). This was the case in our study (Paper III) for models that could accurately predict the timing of growth onset (Hänninen, 1995a) or frost hardiness of Scots pine (Repo, Hänninen & Kellomäki, 1996; Hänninen et al., 1996) under present climatic conditions, but failed completely in their predictions when tested using data gathered in T<sub>E</sub> WTCs (Paper II) with expected future climatic conditions. Although these models were not able to predict the regulation of the timing of bud burst in Norway spruce trees, they did provide a clear indication that rest completion in Norway spruce trees growing in northern Sweden takes place near the spring equinox. The mechanisms involved in rest completion and the risk of frost damage in boreal trees, under climatic warming are, however, not yet sufficiently understood and a more detailed understanding of the mechanisms driving the control of phenological events would greatly improve the accuracy of the models (Linkosalo, 2000a).

#### Chlorophyll fluorescence and photosynthetic capacity

During early spring (*i.e.* March and April), the recovery of the photosynthetic apparatus was faster in  $T_E$  than in  $T_A$  treatments, as seen in variable chlorophyll fluorescence  $(F_V/F_M)$ , apparent quantum yield ( $\phi$ ) and light saturated photosynthesis ( $A_{sat}$ ), but elevated [CO<sub>2</sub>] had no effect during this period. Elevated temperature increased  $A_{sat}$  in early spring, but as spring progressed an increase in  $A_{sat}$  was also the result of elevated [CO<sub>2</sub>] (Table 4). It is also worth mentioning that since the measurements were taken from shoots in the top of the canopy, there was no pronounced difference in light conditions between north and south facing shoots (data not shown).

<pre>\$\$\phi\$ (mol CO2 mol<sup>-1</sup> photons)\$\$\$</pre>								
	March	April	May	June				
T <sub>A</sub> C <sub>A</sub>	0.004 <u>+</u> 0.002	0.037 <u>+</u> 0.004	$0.047 \pm 0.005$	$0.050 \pm 0.001$				
$T_E C_A$	$0.022 \pm 0.002$	$0.050 \pm 0.008$	0.052 <u>+</u> 0.006	0.049 <u>+</u> 0.006				
T <sub>A</sub> C <sub>E</sub>	$0.008 \pm 0.004$	0.042 <u>+</u> 0.006	0.051 <u>+</u> 0.004	0.055 <u>+</u> 0.005				
$T_E C_E$	0.024 <u>+</u> 0.004	0.055 <u>+</u> 0.001	0.049 <u>+</u> 0.001	$0.054 \pm 0.004$				
R	0.015 <u>+</u> 0.001	0.036 <u>+</u> 0.004	$0.048 \pm 0.001$	0.053 <u>+</u> 0.003				
$A_{sat}$ (µmol CO <sub>2</sub> m <sup>-2</sup> s <sup>-1</sup> )								
T <sub>A</sub> C <sub>A</sub>	0.8 <u>+</u> 0.53	8.0 <u>+</u> 0.65	10.3 <u>+</u> 0.76	10.7 <u>+</u> 0.75				
$T_E C_A$	3.0 <u>+</u> 0.23	10.2 <u>+</u> 1.27	10.6 <u>+</u> 0.74	10.8 <u>+</u> 0.73				
T <sub>A</sub> C <sub>E</sub>	1.0 <u>+</u> 0.62	10.8 <u>+</u> 1.13	14.8 <u>+</u> 0.61	14.6 <u>+</u> 1.50				
$T_E C_E$	4.2 <u>+</u> 0.43	14.0 <u>+</u> 0.81	14.1 <u>+</u> 0.40	14.2 <u>+</u> 1.25				
R	1.6 <u>+</u> 0.34	7.2 <u>+</u> 1.30	10.5 <u>+</u> 0.88	11.3 <u>+</u> 0.56				
$F_{\rm V}/F_{\rm M}$								
T <sub>A</sub> C <sub>A</sub>	0.39 + 0.099	$0.73 \pm 0.090$	0.80 + 0.021	0.84 <u>+</u> 0.006				
$T_E C_A$	0.54 + 0.074	0.84 + 0.032	0.82 + 0.010	$0.81 \pm 0.008$				
$T_A C_E$	0.44 + 0.065	0.77 + 0.066	0.81 + 0.026	$0.84 \pm 0.007$				
$T_E C_E$	0.54 + 0.017	0.84 + 0.033	0.80 + 0.021	0.83 <u>+</u> 0.010				
R	0.41 + 0.031	0.68 + 0.116	0.79 + 0.035	$0.83 \pm 0.005$				

Table 4. Mean monthly apparent quantum yield ( $\phi$ ), light saturated photosynthesis ( $A_{sat}$ ) and variable fluorescence ( $F_{V}/F_{M}$ ) for all treatments during spring 2002. Values shown are mean  $\pm$  standard deviation.

Overnight frost events had significant negative effects on the  $\phi$  (Fig. 12). The number of frost events were, however, too few to be able to identify a temperature threshold that caused a setback in the recovery. Lundmark *et al.* (1998) found that spring frosts retarded photosynthetic recovery, but after temperatures increased and remained above 0 °C, recovery commenced and  $F_V/F_M$  recovery almost completely within two weeks. When modelling the recovery of photosynthetic



Figure 12. Daily mean minimum air temperature, apparent quantum yield ( $\phi$ ) and chlorophyll fluorescence ( $F_{V}/F_{M}$ ) for non-chambered reference trees (R), ambient and elevated temperature treatments ( $T_AC_A$  and  $T_EC_A$ ) during spring 2002.

capacity of boreal Norway spruce, Bergh *et al.* (1998) introduced a function where the rate of recovery was reduced by mild frosts (-3 to 0 °C) for two days and a severe overnight frost (below -3 °C) reduced photosynthetic capacity and halted photosynthetic recovery for two days. During the mild spring of 2002, however, only two such frost events occurred after the spring equinox (March 21<sup>st</sup>, *cf.* Day of Year 80 in Fig. 12).

Apparent quantum yield varied with changes in daily minimum air temperature, but chlorophyll fluorescence did not always reflect the same pattern (Fig. 13). The frost events (indicated by arrows in Fig. 12) that occurred in the end of March and the middle of April (Day of Year 85 and 105, respectively), clearly coincided with a decrease in apparent quantum yield in R,  $T_AC_A$ , and  $T_EC_A$  trees.  $F_V/F_M$  was,

however, not measured on the days directly after the first frost event and thus a corresponding decrease in  $F_{V}/F_{M}$  could not be confirmed. A decrease in the  $F_{V}/F_{M}$  was, however, observed when measured the day after the second frost, while not as large a decrease as in apparent quantum yield ( $\phi$ ).

There was a linear relationship ( $R^2 = 0.75$ ) between  $F_V/F_M$  and apparent quantum yield and the relationship was irrespective of temperature or [CO<sub>2</sub>] (Fig. 13, left), when averaged across treatments the relationship had an  $R^2$  of 0.95. Before shoots had regained full photochemical efficiency ( $F_V/F_M < 0.8$ ), there was a small difference in light saturated photosynthetic rates between treatments (Fig. 13, right), but when  $F_V/F_M$  indicated full recovery (~ 0.80), there were clear differences between ambient and elevated [CO<sub>2</sub>] treatments in terms of  $A_{sat}$  (Table 4 & Fig. 13, right). After full recovery had been reached both  $A_{sat}$  and  $\phi$  seemed to be stable and the day-to-day variations may have been caused by other climatic factors. Some of the scatter seen in Fig. 13 may have been based on the limited number of measured shoots and/or by shading of individual shoot cuvettes or light sensors.



Figure 13.  $F_V/F_M$  in relation to apparent quantum yield and  $A_{sat}$  for all treatments during spring 2002.  $T_AC_A$  = ambient temperature and ambient [CO<sub>2</sub>];  $T_AC_E$  = ambient temperature and elevated [CO<sub>2</sub>];  $T_EC_A$  = elevated temperature and ambient [CO<sub>2</sub>];  $T_EC_E$  = elevated temperature and elevated [CO<sub>2</sub>], and R = non-chambered reference trees.

Chlorophyll fluorescence measurements were a reasonable measure of the recovery of the photosynthetic apparatus, but cannot be used as a proxy for gas exchange. While measurements of chlorophyll fluorescence were reasonable indicators of photosynthetic capacity, they cannot be used as an alternative for photosynthesis measurements since net photosynthesis is so variable and responsive to temperature and [CO<sub>2</sub>]. Frost events seemed to have affected photosynthesis ( $\phi$ ), but this was not reflected in chlorophyll fluorescence. This could be the result of the relatively low frequency of fluorescence measurements and the fact that  $F_V/F_M$  only indicates the status of the electron transport system. The recovery of photosynthetic capacity in the T<sub>E</sub> treatment commenced approximately 10 days earlier than in T<sub>A</sub> treatments.

The present study supports earlier studies from Flakaliden on the usefulness of chlorophyll fluorescence to follow spring recovery (Lundmark, *et al.* 1998), effect of soil warming (Bergh & Linder, 1999), effect of mineral nutrition (Strand, 1997), and autumn decline in photosynthetic efficiency (Strand & Lundmark, 1995; Lundmark *et al.*, 1998). Measurements of fluorescence is a useful proxy during periods when differences in gas exchange are difficult to measure because of low rates of net photosynthesis and/or freezing conditions.

#### Photosynthesis at shoot and tree level

Rising air temperatures will lead to earlier spring photosynthetic recovery of boreal Norway spruce forests (Papers IV & V). The general pattern of photosynthetic recovery, at the shoot- and tree-level, was in good agreement, but there were some differences in its pattern and magnitude (Figs. 14 & 15). Within each treatment the commencement of significant recovery started at the same dates at the shoot and tree level. There were, however, some differences in timing and magnitude later during the recovery period (Paper V, Figs. 14 and 15). In the T<sub>E</sub>C<sub>A</sub> treatment, the recovery period was shorter at the shoot-level (6<sup>th</sup> whorl) than at the tree-level (41 and 56 days, respectively). Decreases in  $A_{max}$ , due to frost events, were more pronounced at the shoot than the whole-tree level (Paper V). This difference might be due to a situation were the less exposed shoots in the tree crown experience less frost exposures and thus get less damaged. The faster recovery might be caused by a combination of this effect and a more favourable light interception at the tree level early in the season. When the sun elevation is low, the incoming radiation is to a large extent perpendicular to the largest spatial distribution of the crown. Despite the observed differences, it is clear that shootlevel measurements of CO<sub>2</sub> uptake are a valid and useful means of assessing whole-tree performance (cf. Wallin et al., 2001).



Figure 14. Mean maximum shoot photosynthetic rate  $(A_{max})$  of Norway spruce by treatment for the period 1 January to 30 June 2002. Values shown are means of three shoots per treatment.

Elevated air temperature produced an earlier onset of  $A_{max}$  while elevated [CO<sub>2</sub>] did not alter the timing of recovery. Spring photosynthetic recovery commenced in elevated temperature treatments during warm spells already in early January and February, while the main recovery, in 2002, commenced in mid-March. This was nearly two weeks earlier in T<sub>E</sub>-WTCs than in T<sub>A</sub>-WTCs (Paper **V**, Fig. 3 for shoot-level  $A_{max}$ ). Elevated [CO<sub>2</sub>] did not alter the starting date of photosynthetic recovery, but rather produced greater photosynthetic capacity once recovery had commenced. This is in agreement with many others who have found increases in photosynthetic capacity, as a result of elevated [CO<sub>2</sub>], for a range of tree species (*e.g.* Teskey, 1997; Roberntz, 2001; Bernacchi *et al.*, 2003).

In May to June, the [CO<sub>2</sub>] enrichment increased on average the  $A_{max}$  by 35% (Paper V). The maximum value of  $A_{max}$  during that period was identical for both C<sub>A</sub>-WTC treatments (*i.e.* T<sub>E</sub>C<sub>A</sub> and T<sub>A</sub>C<sub>A</sub>=15.1 µmol m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>) as well as for C<sub>E</sub>-WTC treatments (*i.e.* T<sub>A</sub>C<sub>E</sub>, and T<sub>E</sub>C<sub>E</sub> =21.5 µmol m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>).



Figure 15. Mean maximum tree photosynthetic rate of Norway spruce by treatment for the period 1 January to 22 May 2002. Values shown are means of three shoots per treatment. Due to technical problems, data from the  $T_EC_E$  treatment could not be included.

Combined temperature and  $[CO_2]$  elevation resulted in both earlier photosynthetic recovery and higher  $A_{max}$  values of shoots, but this was minimal on days of diffuse radiation (Paper V). Similar to shoots, the increase in tree-level  $A_{max}$  as a result of elevated temperature and  $[CO_2]$  was greatly diminished on days of predominantly diffuse radiation. The apparent decrease in  $A_{max}$  in elevated  $[CO_2]$ , at low light levels, is indicating that the limitation of photosynthesis at low light is more related to light-use efficiency than to the carboxylation efficiency. This reduction in  $A_{max}$  suggests that treatment-driven changes in  $A_{max}$  at the top of the canopy make an important contribution to the tree-level net CO<sub>2</sub> uptake, as it is mainly the upper canopy that is exposed to high radiation (*cf.* Lewis *et al.*, 1999). Shoot apparent quantum yield ( $\phi_{shoot}$ ) was greater in T<sub>E</sub>-WTCs compared to T<sub>A</sub>-WTCs (Paper V, Fig. 5a), but elevated [CO<sub>2</sub>] did not have any effect on the pattern of  $\phi_{shoot}$  (Paper V, Figs. 5b,c). The mean  $\phi_{shoot}$  values for the period 1 March to 30 June 2002 were 0.033, 0.043, 0.038, and 0.043 mol CO<sub>2</sub> mol<sup>-1</sup> photons for the T<sub>A</sub>C<sub>A</sub>, T<sub>E</sub>C<sub>A</sub>, T<sub>A</sub>C<sub>E</sub> and T<sub>E</sub>C<sub>E</sub> shoots, respectively. Maximum  $\phi_{shoot}$  values reached during the measurement period were 0.056, 0.060, 0.066, and 0.066 mol CO<sub>2</sub> mol<sup>-1</sup> photons for the T<sub>A</sub>C<sub>A</sub>, T<sub>E</sub>C<sub>E</sub> (6 April) shoots, respectively (Paper V). Tree apparent quantum yield ( $\phi_{tree}$ ) was also greater in T<sub>E</sub>-WTCs compared to T<sub>A</sub>-WTCs in early spring, but differences between treatments had largely disappeared by the end of March (Paper V, Fig. 6). During the period of significant photosynthetic activity mean  $\phi_{tree}$  values were 0.0088 and 0.0085 mol CO<sub>2</sub> mol<sup>-1</sup> photons for the T<sub>A</sub>C<sub>A</sub> (25 March-23 May) and T<sub>E</sub>C<sub>A</sub> (15 March-23 May) treatments, respectively. Maximum daily  $\phi_{tree}$  values were 0.0189 and 0.0193 mol CO<sub>2</sub> mol<sup>-1</sup> photons for the T<sub>A</sub>C<sub>A</sub> and T<sub>E</sub>C<sub>A</sub> treatments, respectively (Paper V).

The strong influence of air temperature on the timing of photosynthetic recovery in Norway spruce agrees with findings from previous studies of boreal tree species (*e.g.* Strand & Lundmark, 1995; Lundmark *et al.*, 1998; Strand *et al.*, 2002; Suni *et al.*, 2003; Ensminger *et al.*, 2004; Mäkelä, 2004).

The starch accumulation during March and April reflected the earlier recovery of photosynthesis in  $T_E$  treatments (Paper V, Table 2), but the ranking shifted in advantage of the  $C_E$  treatment in May when full recovery had taken place in all treatments (*cf.* Fig. 14) and elevated [CO<sub>2</sub>] had a positive effect on  $A_{sat}$  (*cf.* Fig. 13). The peak values in needle starch concentrations were similar to what has been reported earlier from the Flakaliden site (*cf.* Linder, 1995). The decrease in needle nitrogen concentration during early summer was most pronounced in the  $T_E$  treatments (Paper V) and reflected the earlier bud break and shoot development, which under nutrient limited conditions results in re-translocation of nutrients from older foliage to the new developing shoots (*cf.* Linder, 1995).

# Conclusions

The whole-tree chamber system worked well for measuring physiological responses of individual trees to elevated  $[CO_2]$  and temperature for examining the effects of climate change on tree performance. The precision of temperature and  $[CO_2]$  control in the WTC system gives confidence in the ability of the system to reproduce natural conditions as well as providing the conditions for a realistic assessment of tree responses to climate change. Tree-level measurements obtained from WTCs provided an integration of measurements that incorporate all shoots, with varying crown position and age class, along with respiratory  $CO_2$  released from woody tissue, thus avoiding over- or underestimations when scaling measurements from shoots to the level of trees and forest stands.

The present studies show that climate warming will result in earlier bud burst in boreal Norway spruce trees and that this is strongly determined by the temperature sum accumulated after the spring equinox. Elevated  $[CO_2]$  did not affect the timing of bud burst or shoot development, but in a warmer climate a longer growing season can be expected, with an earlier and faster photosynthetic recovery in spring as well as earlier shoot development leading to an increase in the potential photosynthetic production.

The inability to accurately predict the timing of bud burst by using an accumulation of temperature sums or ecophysiological simulations models, suggests that in adult trees there are additional environmental cues that affect rest completion and the commencement of ontogenetic development (*cf.* Partanen, Hänninen & Häkkinen, 2005). To improve our ability to predict the likely impacts of climate change on the structure and function of forest ecosystems, there is an urgent need to establish more long-term, multifactor experiments in mature forest stands (*cf.* Saxe *et al.*, 2001; Beier, 2004; Norby & Lou, 2004).

From the studies, presented in the present thesis, it can be concluded that both elevated air temperature and  $[CO_2]$  have the potential to increase the carbon uptake of boreal Norway spruce forests, but whether or not this will change translate into increased carbon gain in a future climate, remains to be seen. In most boreal forests, growth stimulation can only be expected if the increased carbon uptake can be met by an increased nitrogen uptake. Little or no long-term growth response to elevated temperatures and/or  $[CO_2]$  can be expected in forests where the availability of nitrogen, rather than carbon, is the main growth-limiting factor (*cf.* Tamm, 1991; Linder, 1995; Körner, 2003).

# References

- Ahas, R., Aasa, A., Menzel, A., Fedotova, V.G. & Scheifinger, H. 2002. Changes in European spring phenology. *International Journal of Climatology* 22, 1727-1738.
- Badeck, F.-W., Bondeau, A., Böttcher, K., Doktor, D., Lucht, W., Schaber, J. & Sitch, S. 2004. Responses of spring phenology to climate change. *New Phytologist 162*, 295-309.
- Barton, C.V.M., Lee, H.S.J. & Jarvis, P.G. 1993. A branch-bag and CO<sub>2</sub> control system for long-term CO<sub>2</sub> enrichment of mature Sitka spruce (*Picea sitchensis* (Bong.) Carr.). *Plant, Cell and Environment 16*, 1139-1148.
- Beier, C. 2004. Climate change and ecosystem function full-scale manipulations of CO<sub>2</sub> and temperature. *New Phytologist 162*, 243-245.
- Bergh, J. & Linder, S. 1999. Effects of soil warming during spring on photosynthetic recovery in boreal Norway spruce stands. *Global Change Biology* 5, 245 - 253.
- Bergh, J., McMurtrie, R.E. & Linder, S. 1998. Climatic factors controlling the productivity of Norway spruce: A model-based analysis. *Forest Ecology and Management 110*, 127-139.
- Bergh, J., Linder, S., Lundmark, T. & Elfving, B. 1999. The effect of water and nutrient availability on the productivity of Norway spruce in northern and southern Sweden. *Forest Ecology and Management 119*, 51-62.
- Bernacchi, C.J., Calfapietra, C., Davey, P.A., Wittig, V.E., Scarascia-Mugnozza, G.E., Raines, C.A. & Long, S.P. 2003. Photosynthesis and stomatal conductance responses of poplars to free-air CO<sub>2</sub> enrichment (PopFACE) during the first growth cycle and immediately following coppice. *New Phytologist 159*, 609-621.
- Burroughs, W.J. 2001. *Climate change a multidisciplinary approach*. Cambridge University Press. New York, NY, USA.
- Cannell, M.G.R. 1985. Analysis of risks of frost damage to forest trees in Britain. In Crop Physiology of Forest Trees. Edited by P.M.A. Tigerstedt, P. Puttonen & V. Koski. Helsinki University Press, Helsinki, pp 153-166.
- Cannell, M.G.R. & Smith, R.I. 1983. Thermal time, chill days and prediction of budburst in Picea sitchensis. Journal of Applied Ecology 20, 951-963.
- Cannell, M.G.R. & Smith, R.I. 1986. Climatic warming, spring budburst and frost damage on trees. *Journal of Applied Ecology* 23, 177-191.
- Ceulemans, R., Janssens, I.A. & Jach, M.E. 1999. Effects of CO<sub>2</sub> enrichment on trees and forests: Lessons to be learned in view of future ecosystem studies. *Annals of Botany 84*, 577-590.
- Christensen, J.H., Räisänen, J., Iverson, T., Bjørge, D., Christensen, O.B. & Rummukainen, M. 2001. A synthesis of regional climate change simulations - a Scandinavian perspective *Geophysical Research Letter 28*, 1003-1006.
- Chuine, I. 2000. A unified model for budburst of trees. *Journal of Theoretical Biology* 207, 337-347.
- Chuine, I., Cour, P. & Rousseau, D.D. 1998. Fitting models predicting dates of flowering of temperate-zone trees using simulated annealing. *Plant, Cell and Environment 21*, 455-466.
- Chuine, I., Cour, P. & Rousseau, D.D. 1999. Selecting models to predict the timing of flowering of temperate trees: implications for tree phenology modelling *Plant, Cell and Environment* 22, 1-13.
- Chuine, I., Kramer, K. & Hänninen, H. 2003. Plant development models. In *Phenology: An Integrative Environmental Science*. Edited by M.D. Schwartz. Kluwer Academic Publishers. Dordrecht, pp. 305-333.
- Comstedt D., Boström B., Marshall J.D., Holm A., Slaney M., Linder S. & Ekblad A. 2006. Effects of elevated [CO<sub>2</sub>] and temperature on soil respiration in a boreal forest using  $\delta^{13}$ C as a labelling tool. *Ecosystems* (In press)

- Delucia, E.H. 1987. The effect of freezing nights on photosynthesis, stomatal conductance, and internal CO<sub>2</sub> concentration in seedlings of Engelmann spruce (*Picea engelmannii* Parry). *Plant, Cell and Environment* 10, 333-338.
- Dixon, R.K, Brown, S., Houghton, R.A., Solomon, A.M., Trexler, M.C. & Wisniewski, J. 1994. Carbon pools and flux of global forest ecosystems. *Science* 263, 185-190.
- Ensminger, I., Sveshnikov, D., Campbell, D.A., Funk, C., Jansson, S., Lloyd, J., Shibistova, O. & Öquist, G. 2004. Intermittent low temperatures constrain spring recovery of photosynthesis in boreal Scots pine forests. *Global Change Biology* 10, 995-1008.
- Flower-Ellis, J.G.K. 1993. Dry-matter allocation in Norway spruce branches: a demographic approach. *Studia Forestalia Suecica 191*, 51-73.
- Fransson, P.M.A., Taylor, A.F.S. & Finlay, R.D. 2001. Elevated atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> alters root symbiont community structure in forest trees. *New Phytologist 152*, 431-442.
- Fuchigami, L.H., Weiser, C.J., Kobayashi, K., Timmis, R. & Gusta, L.V. 1982. A degree growth stage (°GS) model and cold acclimation in temperate woody plants. In *Plant Cold Hardiness and Freezing Stress. Mechanisms and Crop Implications*. Edited by P.H. Li & A. Sakai, Academic Press. New York, pp. 93-116.
- Häkkinen, R. 1999a. Analysis of bud-development theories based on long-term phenological and air temperature time series: application to *Betula* sp. leaves. *Finnish Forest Research Institute Research Papers* 754, 59 p.
- Häkkinen, R. 1999b. Statistical evaluation of bud development theories: application to bud burst of *Betula pendula* leaves. *Tree Physiology* 19, 613-618.
- Häkkinen R., T. Linkosalo and P. Hari. 1998. Effects of dormancy and environmental factors on timing of bud burst in *Betula pendula*. *Tree Physiology* 18, 707-712.
- Hamilton, J.G., Thomas, R.B. & DeLucia, E.H. 2001. Direct and indirect effects of elevated CO<sub>2</sub> on leaf respiration in a forest ecosystem. *Plant, Cell and Environment* 24, 975-982.
- Hannerz, M. 1999. Evaluation of temperature models for predicting bud burst in Norway spruce. *Canadian Journal of Forest Research 29*, 9-19.
- Hänninen, H. 1990a. Modelling bud dormancy release in trees from cool and temperate regions. *Acta Forestalia Fennica 213*, 47 pp.
- Hänninen, H. 1990b. Modelling dormancy release in trees from cool and temperate regions. In *Process Modeling of Forest Growth Responses to Environmental Stress*. Edited by R.K. Dixon, R.S. Meldahl, G.A. Ruark & W.G. Warren. Timber Press, Portland, pp. 159-165.
- Hänninen H. 1991. Does climatic warming increase the risk of frost damage in northern trees? *Plant, Cell and Environment 14*, 449-454.
- Hänninen, H. 1995a. Assessing ecological implications of climate change: Can we rely on our simulation models? *Climatic Change* 31, 1-4.
- Hänninen, H. 1995b. Effects of climatic change on trees from cool and temperate regions: an ecophysiological approach to modelling of bud burst phenology. *Canadian Journal of Botany* 73, 183-199.
- Hänninen, H., Leinonen, I., Repo, T. & Kellomäki, S. 1996. Overwintering and productivity of Scots pine in a changing climate. *Silva Fennica* 30, 229-237.
- Hare, F.K. & Ritchie, J.C. The boreal bioclimates. Geographical Review 62, 333-365.
- Harmon, M.E., Ferrel, W.K. & Franklin, J.F. 1990. Effects of carbon storage on conversion of old-growth forests to young forests. *Science* 247, 699-702.
- Havranek, W.M. & Tranquillini, W. 1995. Physiological processes during winter dormancy and their ecological significance. In *Ecophysiology of Coniferous Forests*. Edited by W.K. Smith & T.M. Hinckley. Academic Press Inc. San Diego, California, 338 pp.
- Heide, O.M. 2003. High autumn temperature delays spring bud burst in boreal trees, counterbalancing the effect of climatic warming. *Tree Physiology* 23, 931-936.
- Hendrey, G.R., Ellsworth, D.S., Lewin, K.F. & Nagy, J. 1999. A free-air enrichment system for exposing tall forest vegetation to elevated atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub>. *Global Change Biology* 5, 293-309.
- Herrick, J.D. & Thomas, R.B. 2001. No photosynthetic down-regulation in sweetgum trees (*Liquidambar styraciflua* L.) after three years of CO<sub>2</sub> enrichment at the Duke Forest FACE experiment. *Plant, Cell and Environment* 24, 53-64.

- Hunter, A.F.& Lechowicz, M.J. 1992. Predicting the timing of budburst in temperate trees. *Journal of Applied Ecology 29*, 597-604.
- IPCC. 2001. Third Assessment Report: Climate Change 2001. The Scientific Basis. Cambridge University Press. Cambridge, United Kingdom and New York, NY, USA., 63 pp.
- Jach, M.E. & Ceulemans, R. 1999. Effects of elevated atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> on phenology, growth and crown structure of Scots pine (*Pinus sylvestris*) seedlings after two years of exposure in the field. *Tree Physiology 19*, 289-300.
- Jach, M.E., Ceulemans, R. & Murray, M.B. 2001. Impacts of greenhouse gases on the phenology of forest trees. In *The Impact of Carbon Dioxide and Other Greenhouse Gases* on Forest Ecosystems. Edited by D.F. Karnosky, R. Ceulemans, G.E. Scarascia-Mugnozza, & J.L. Innes., *IUFRO Series No. 8*, CAB International.
- Jarvis, P.G. (Ed.). 1998. European Forests and Global Change. Cambridge University Press, 380 pp.
- Jarvis, P.G. & Linder, S. 2000. Constraints to growth of boreal forests. Nature 405, 904-905.
- Jones, P.D. & Moberg, A. 2003. Hemispheric and large-scale surface air temperature variations: An extensive revision and an update to 2001. *Journal of Climate 16*, 206-223.
- Jones, P.D., New, M., Parker, D.E., Martin, S. & Rigor, I.G. 1999. Surface air temperature and its changes over the past 150 years. *Reviews of Geophysics* 37, 173-199.
- Keeling, C.D. & Whorf, T.P. 2005. Atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> records from sites in the SIO air sampling network. In *Trends: A Compendium of Data on Global Change. Carbon.* Dioxide Information Analysis Center, Oak Ridge National Laboratory, U.S. Department of Energy, Oak Ridge, Tenn., U.S.A.
- Keeling, C.D., Chin, J.F.S. & Whorf, T.P. 1996. Increased activity of northern vegetation inferred from atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> measurements. *Nature* 382, 146-149.
- Kellomäki, S. & Wang, K.-Y. 1997. Effects of elevated O<sub>3</sub> and CO<sub>2</sub> on chlorophyll fluorescence and gas exchange in Scots pine during the third growing seasons. *Environmental Pollution 97*, 17-27.
- Kellomäki, S. & Wang, K.-Y. 1998. Daily and seasonal CO<sub>2</sub> exchange in Scots pine grown under elevated O<sub>3</sub> and CO<sub>2</sub>: experiment and simulation. *Plant Ecology 136*, 229-248.
- Kellomäki, S., Wang, K. & Lemettinen, M. 2000. Controlled environmental chambers for investigating tree response to elevated CO<sub>2</sub> and temperature under boreal conditions. *Photosynthetica* 38, 69-81.
- Kilpeläinen, A., Peltola, H., Rouvinen, I. & Kellomäki, S. 2006. Dynamics of daily height growth in Scots pine trees at elevated temperature and CO<sub>2</sub>. *Trees 20*, 16-27.
- Kostiainen, K., Kaakinen, S., Saranpää, P., Linder, S., Sigurdsson, B. & Vapaavuori, E. 2004. Effect of elevated [CO<sub>2</sub>] on stem wood properties of mature Norway spruce grown at different soil nutrient availability. *Global Change Biology* 10, 1526-1538.
- Kozlowski, T.T. & Ward, R.C. 1961. Shoot elongation characteristics of forest trees. Forest Science 7, 357-368.
- Kramer, K. 1994a. Selecting a model to predict the onset of growth of Fagus sylvatica. Journal of Applied Ecology 31, 172-181.
- Kramer, K. 1994b. A modelling analysis of the effects of climatic warming on the probability of spring frost damage to tree species in The Netherlands and Germany. *Plant, Cell and Environment 17*, 367-377.
- Kramer, K. 1996. Phenology and growth of European trees in relation to climate change. Thesis Landbouw Universiteit Wageningen, 210 pp.
- Kramer, K., Leinonen, I. & Loustau, D. 2000. The importance of phenology for the evaluation of impact of climate change on growth of boreal, temperate and Mediterranean forests ecosystems: an overview. *International Journal of Biometeorology* 44, 67-75.
- Krause, G.H. & Weis, E. 1984. Chlorophyll fluorescence as a tool in plant physiology. II. Interpretation of fluorescence signals. *Photosynthesis Research* 5, 139-157.
- Krause, G.H. & Weis, E. 1991. Chlorophyll Fluorescence and Photosynthesis: The Basics. Annual Review of Plant Physiology and Plant Molecular Biology 42, 313-349.

- Krutzsch, P. 1973. Norway spruce development of buds. *Internal Report. IUFRO S2.02.11*. Vienna: International Union of Forest Research Organizations, 5 pp.
- Körner, C. 2003. Carbon limitation in trees. Journal of Ecology, 91, 4-17.
- Körner, C., Asshoff, R., Bignucolo, O., Hättenschwiler, S., Keel, S.G., Pelaez-Riedl, S., Pepin, S., Siegwolf, R.T.W. & Zotz, G. 2005. Carbon flux and growth in mature deciduous forest trees exposed to elevated CO<sub>2</sub>. *Science 309*, 1360-1362.
- Lagergren F, Eklundh L, Grelle A, Lundblad M, Mölder M, Mölder H, Lindroth A. 2005. Net primary production and light use efficiency in a mixed coniferous forest in Sweden. *Plant, Cell and Environment* 28, 412–423.
- Lamontagne, M., Bigras, F.J. & Margolis, H.A. 2000. Chlorophyll fluorescence and CO<sub>2</sub> assimilation of black spruce seedlings following frost in different temperature and light conditions *Tree Physiology 20*, 249-255.
- Langlet, O. 1960. Mellaneuropeiska granprovenienser i svenskt skogsbruk. Kunglig Skogsoch Lantbruksakademiens Tidskrift 99, 259-329. (In Swedish with summary in German)
- Larcher, W. 2003. *Physiological Plant Ecology: Ecophysiology and Stress Physiology of Functional Groups*. 4th edition. Springer-Verlag. Berlin, Heidelberg. 513 pp.
- Lavender, D.P. & Silim, S.N. 1987. The role of plant growth regulators in dormancy in forest trees. *Plant Growth Regulation* 6, 171-191.
- Lee, H.S.J., Overdieck, D. & Jarvis, P.G. 1998. Biomass, growth and carbon allocation. In *European Forests and Global Change*. Edited by. P.G. Jarvis. Cambridge University Press, pp. 126-191.
- Leinonen, I. & Kramer, K. 2002. Applications of phenological models to predict the future carbon sequestration potential of boreal forests. *Climatic Change* 55, 99-113.
- Leinonen, I., Repo, T. & Hänninen, H. 1997. Changing environmental effects on frost hardiness of Scots pine during dehardening. *Annals of Botany* 79, 133-138.
- Lewis J.D., Olszyk D. & Tingey D.T. 1999. Seasonal patterns of photosynthetic light response in Douglas-fir seedlings subjected to elevated atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> and temperature. *Tree Physiology*, *19*, 243-252.
- Linder, S. 1995. Foliar analysis for detecting and correcting nutrient imbalances in Norway spruce *Ecological Bulletins (Copenhagen)* 44, 178-190.
- Linder, S. & Flower-Ellis, J.G.K. 1992. Environmental and physiological constraints to forest yield. In *Responses of Forest Ecosystems to Environmental Changes*. Edited by A. Teller, P. Mathy & J.N.R. Jeffers. Elsevier Applied Science. London, pp. 149-164.
- Linder, S. & Lohammar, T. 1981. Amount and quality of information on CO<sub>2</sub>-exchange required for estimating annual carbon balance of coniferous trees *Studia Forestalia Suecica 160*, 73-87.
- Linder, S. & Murray, M. 1998. Do elevated CO<sub>2</sub> concentrations and nutrients interact? In *European Forests and Global Change*. Edited by. P.G. Jarvis. Cambridge University Press, pp. 215-235.
- Linkosalo, T. 2000a. Analyses of the spring phenology of boreal trees and its response to climate change, Doctoral Thesis, University of Helsinki.
- Linkosalo, T. 2000b. Mutual regularity of spring phenology of some boreal tree species: predicting with other species and phenological models. *Canadian Journal of Forest Research 30*, 667-673.
- Linkosalo, T., Carter, T.R., Häkkinen, R. & Hari, P. 2000. Predicting spring phenology and frost damage risk of *Betula* spp. under climatic warming: a comparison of two models. *Tree Physiology 20*, 1175-1182.
- Linkosalo, T., Häkkinen, R. & Hänninen, H. 2006. Models of the spring phenology of boreal and temperate trees: Is there something missing? *Tree Physiology* (In press)
- Liozon, R., Badeck, F.-W., Genty, B., Meyer, S. & Saugier, B. 2000. Leaf photosynthetic characteristics of beech (*Fagus sylvatica*) saplings during three years of exposure to elevated CO<sub>2</sub> concentration. *Tree Physiology 20*, 239-247.
- Lundmark, T., Hällgren, J.-E. & Hedén, J. 1988. Recovery from winter depression of photosynthesis in pine and spruce. *Trees 2*, 110-114.
- Lundmark, T., Bergh, J., Strand, M. & Koppel, A. 1998. Seasonal variation of maximum photochemical efficiency in boreal Norway spruce stands. *Trees 13*, 63-67.

- Mäkelä, A. 2004. Acclimation of photosynthetic capacity in Scots pine to the annual cycle of temperature. *Tree Physiology* 24, 369-376.
- McMurtrie, R.E., Rook, D.A. & Kelliher, F.M. 1990. Modelling the yield of *Pinus radiata* on a site limited by water and nitrogen. *Forest Ecology and Management 30*, 381-413.

Menzel, A. & Fabian, P. 1999. Growing season extended in Europe. Nature 397, 659.

- Murray, M.B. & Ceulemans, R. 1998. Will tree foliage be larger and live longer? In European Forests and Global Change. Edited by P.G. Jarvis, Cambridge University Press, pp. 94-125.
- Murray M.B., Cannell, M.G.R. & Smith, R.I. 1989. Date of budburst of fifteen tree species in Britain following climatic warming. *Journal of Applied Ecology* 26, 693-700.
- Murray, M.B., Smith, R.I., Leith, I.D., Fowler, D., Lee, H.S.J., Friend, A.D. & Jarvis, P.G. 1994. Effects of elevated CO<sub>2</sub>, nutrition and climatic warming on bud phenology in Sitka spruce (*Picea sitchensis*) and their impact on the risk of frost damage. *Plant, Cell and Environment* 14, 691-706.
- Murray, M.B., Smith, R.I., Friend, A. & Jarvis, P.G. 2000. Effect of elevated [CO<sub>2</sub>] and varying nutrient application rates on physiology and biomass accumulation of Sitka spruce (*Picea sitchensis*). *Tree Physiology 20*, 421-434.
- Müller, N.J.C. 1874. Beziehungen zwischen Assimilation, Absorption and Fluoreszenz im Chlorophyll des lebenden Blattes. *Jahrbuch der wissenshaftliche Botanik* 9, 42-49.
- Norby, R.J. & Lou, Y. 2004. Evaluating ecosystem responses to rising atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> and global warming in a multi-factor world. *New Phytologist 162*, 281-293.
- Osborn, T.J. & Briffa, K.R. 2006. The spatial extent of 20th-Century warmth in the context of the past 1200 years. *Science 311*, 841-844.
- Öquist, G. 1987. Environmental stress and photosynthesis, In *Progress in Photosynthesis Research*, Edited by J. Biggins. Dordrecht: Martinus Nijhoff, pp. 1-10.
- Partanen, J., Hänninen, H. & Häkkinen R. 2005. Bud burst in Norway spruce (*Picea abies*): preliminary evidence for age-specific rest patterns. *Trees 19*, 66-72.
- Pontailler, J.Y., Barton, C.V.M., Durrant, D. & Forstreuter, M. 1998. How can we study CO<sub>2</sub> impacts on trees and forests? In *European Forests and Global Change*. Edited by P.G. Jarvis. Cambridge University Press, pp. 1-28.
- Rasmussen, L., Beier, C. & Bergstedt, A. 2002. Experimental manipulations of old pine ecosystems to predict the potential tree growth effects of increased CO<sub>2</sub> and temperature in a future climate. *Forest Ecology and Management 158*, 179-188.
- Repo, T., Hänninen, H. & Kellomäki, S. 1996. The effects of long-term elevation of air temperature and CO<sub>2</sub> on the frost hardiness of Scots pine. *Plant, Cell and Environment* 19, 209-216.
- Roberntz, P. 1999. Effects of long-term CO<sub>2</sub> enrichment and nutrient availability in Norway spruce. I. Phenology and morphology of branches. *Trees 13*, 188-198.
- Roberntz P. 2001. Atmospheric carbon dioxide concentration, nitrogen availability, temperature and the photosynthetic capacity of current-year Norway spruce shoots. *Tree Physiology 21*, 931–940.
- Roberntz, P. & Linder, S. 1999. Effects of long-term CO<sub>2</sub> enrichment and nutrient availability in Norway spruce. II. Foliar chemistry. *Trees 14*, 17-27.
- Roberntz P. & Stockfors J. 1998. Effects of elevated CO<sub>2</sub> concentration and nutrition on net photosynthesis, stomatal conductance and needle respiration of field-grown Norway spruce trees. *Tree Physiology 18*, 233-241.
- Romell, L. 1925. Växtidsundersökningar å tall och gran. Meddelanden från Statens skogsförsöksanstalt 22, 45-116. (In Swedish with summary in French)
- Räisänen, J., Rummukainen, M. & Ullerstig, A. 2001. Downscaling of greenhouse gas induced climate change in two GCMs with the Rossby Centre regional climate model for northern Europe. *Tellus* 53A, 168-191.
- Sarvas, R. 1972. Investigations on the annual cycle of development of forest trees. Active period. *Communicationes Instituti forestalis Fenniae* 76, 1-110
- Sarvas, R. 1974. Investigations on the annual cycle of development of forest trees. II. Autumn dormancy and winter dormancy. *Communicationes Instituti forestalis Fenniae* 84, 1-101

- Saugier, B., Granier, A., Pontailler, J.Y., Dufrêne, E. & Baldocchi, D.D. 1997. Transpiration of a boreal pine forest measured by branch bag, sap flow and micrometeorological methods. *Tree Physiology* 17, 511-519.
- Saxe, H., Ellsworth, D.S. & Heath, J. 1998. Tree and forest functioning in an enriched CO<sub>2</sub> atmosphere. *New Phytologist 139*, 395-436.
- Saxe, H., Cannell, M.G.R., Johnsen, Ø., Ryan M.G., & Vourlitis G. 2001. Tree and forest functioning in response to global warming. *New Phytologist 149*, 369-400.
- Schreiber, U. 1983. Chlorophyll fluorescence yield changes as a tool in plant physiology. I. The measuring system. *Photosynthesis Research* 4, 361-373.
- Schulze, E.D. & Mooney, H.A. 1994. Design and Execution of Experiments on CO<sub>2</sub> Enrichment. Ecosystem Research. No. 6 EUR 15110. Office for Official Publications of the European Communities, Luxembourg.
- Sigurdsson, B.D. 2001. Elevated [CO<sub>2</sub>] and nutrient status modified leaf phenology and growth rhythm of young *Populus trichocarpa* trees in a 3-year field study. *Trees 15*, 403-413.
- Sigurdsson, B.D., Thorgeirsson, H. & Linder, S. 2001. Growth and dry-matter partitioning of young *Populus trichocarpa* in response to carbon dioxide concentration and mineral nutrient availability. *Tree Physiology 21*, 941-950.
- Steen, E. & Larsson, K. 1986. Carbohydrates in roots and rhizomes of perennial grasses. *New Phytologist 104*, 339-346.
- Strand, M. 1997. Effect of mineral nutrient content on oxygen exchange and chlorophyll a fluorescence in needles of Norway spruce. *Tree Physiology* 17, 221-230.
- Strand, M. & Lundmark, T. 1995. Recovery of photosynthesis in 1-year-old needles of unfertilized and fertilized Norway spruce (*Picea abies* (L.) Karst.) during spring. *Tree Physiology* 15, 151-158.
- Strand, M., Lundmark, T., Söderbergh, I. & Mellander, P.-E. 2002. Impacts of seasonal air and soil temperatures on photosynthesis in Scots pine trees. *Tree Physiology* 22, 839-847.
- Strömgren, M. & Linder, S. 2002. Effects of nutrition and soil warming on stemwood production in a boreal Norway spruce stand. *Global Change Biology* 8, 1195-1204.
- Suni T., Berninger F., Vesala T., Markkanen T., Hari P., Mäkelä A., Ilvesniemi H., Hänninen H., Nikinmaa E., Huttula T., Laurila T., Aurela M., Grelle A., Lindroth A., Arneth A., Shibistova O. & Lloyd J. 2003. Air temperature triggers the recovery of evergreen boreal forest photosynthesis in spring. *Global Change Biology* 9, 1410-1426.
- Tamm, C.O. 1991. Nitrogen in Terrestrial Ecosystems, Questions of Productivity, Vegetational Changes, and Ecosystem Stability. Ecolological Studies 81, Springer-Verlag, 115 pp.
- Teskey, R.O. 1997. Combined effects of elevated CO<sub>2</sub> and air temperature on carbon assimilation of *Pinus taeda* trees. *Plant, Cell and Environment 20*, 373-380.
- Troeng, E. & Linder, S. 1982. Gas exchange in a 20-year-old stand of Scots pine. I. Net photosynthesis of current and 1-year-old shoots within and between seasons. *Physiologia Plantarum* 54, 7-14.
- Urban O., Janouš D., Pokorný R., Marková I., Pavelka M., Fojtík Z., Šprtová M., Kalina J. & Marek M.V. 2001. Glass domes with adjustable windows: a novel technique for exposing juvenile forest stands to elevated CO<sub>2</sub> concentration. *Photosynthetica 39*, 395– 401.
- Vitousek, P.M. 1991. Can planted forests counteract increasing atmospheric carbon dioxide? *Journal of Environmental Quality* 20, 348-354.
- Wallin, G., Linder, S., Lindroth, A., Räntfors, M., Flemberg, S. & Grelle, A. 2001. Carbon dioxide exchange in Norway spruce at the shoot, tree and ecosystem scale. *Tree Physiology* 21, 969 - 976.
- Walter, H. 1973. Vegetation of the Earth. Springer-Verlag. New York Inc. 237 pp.
- Waring, R.H. & Schlesinger, W.H. 1985. Forest Ecosystems: concepts and management. Academic Press. Orlando, FL., USA., 340 pp.
- Watson, R.T., Noble, I.R., Bolin, B., Ravindranath, N.H., Verardo, D.J. & Dokken, D.J. 2000. Land Use, Land-use Change, and Forestry. A Special Report of the IPCC. Cambridge University Press. Cambridge, 377 pp.

- Whitehead, D., Hogan, K.P., Rogers, G.N.D., Byers, J.N., Hunt, J.E., McSeveny, T.M., Hollinger, D.Y., Dungan, R.J., Earl, W.B. & Bourke, M.P. 1995. Performance of large open-top chambers for long-term field investigations of tree response to elevated carbon dioxide concentration. *Journal of Biogeography* 22, 307-313.
- Wiemken, V., Kossatz, L. & Ineichen, K. 1996. Frost hardiness of Norway spruce grown under elevated atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> and increased nitrogen fertilizing. *Journal of Plant Physiology* 149, 433-438.
- Wright, R.F. 1998. Effect of increased CO<sub>2</sub> and temperature on runoff chemistry at a forested catchment in southern Norway (CLIMEX project). *Ecosystems 1*, 216-225.
- Žumer, M. 1968. Bud break on Norway spruce (*Picea abies L. Karst.*). Meldinger fra Norges landbrukshøgskole47(10), 16 pp. (In Norwegian, English summary).
- Žumer, M. 1969. Growth rhythm of some forest trees at different altitudes. *Meldinger fra* Norges landbrukshøgskole 48(5), 31 pp. (In Norwegian, English summary).

#### URL

http://www.borealforest.org; Accessed on April 1st, 2006

Climate Research Unit http://www.cru.uea.ac.uk; Accessed on April 1<sup>st</sup>, 2006

# Acknowledgements

To thank all the people who have made this thesis a reality deserves an appendix of its own. I'm not sure 'Acknowledgements' is the correct heading for this section but since I can't think of a single English word that better expresses my gratitude, I might as well follow tradition. You have all made this a very enjoyable experience and if I had arms long enough, I would like to give you all a GREAT BIG group hug! I will try to be concise (which, anyone who knows me can confirm, is a problem for me) but please be patient and read carefully as parts of this section will be written in several languages (my mother tongue, Newfoundland English, Swedish and Swenglish). While this might be the longest acknowledgments section in the history of Thesis', I really can't see any way around it, since I have carried out this work over four and a half years in three different parts of Sweden (from the north to the south) and was fortunate to encounter so many great people that truly deserve a proper thanks.

I will begin with my supervisor, Sune. I don't know how you manage to be as efficient as you are with an average of about 4 hours of sleep, two litres of coffee and an undisclosed number of cigarettes a day, while flying around the globe at least twice a year, supervising PhD students on every continent, being involved in every international committee and research organisation on climate change, publishing an undisclosed number of papers, being a family man and 'Mr. Fix-it' around the house, and STILL manage to squeeze in time to supervise and guide me, turning my otherwise mediocre work into something that I can be proud of. The grass will never grow under your feet! The important thing isn't HOW you managed to do it, but rather that you DID manage to and I am deeply grateful. You have opened my eyes to the world of ecophysiology and taught me a lot along the

way (even if you had to repeat things more than once). Tusen tack for giving me the opportunity to take this journey and to work with you. I am also thankful for the letters of support you wrote for me every time I wanted to fly here or there for a conference or course that I thought was relevant to my work. Through these meetings and trips, I was able to be my little 'social butterfly' self and establish a huge network from which I got lots of feedback and with some of whom I have collaborated. On a more personal level, I owe you and Mette a BIG THANKS for housing me when I moved to Skåne and for helping furnish my apartment when, in the beginning, I didn't have a pot to pee in or a window to throw it through (as my mom would say)!

Jane and Göran, working with you has been an unforgettable experience that has fashioned the way I think about tree physiology, and science in general. It has been an honour to work with and know you and thanks for not getting fed up with my infinite number of questions and requests. You both have gone beyond the call of duty and without you, this thesis wouldn't exist. Jane, you always said, "you'll get there" and I'm glad you believed it even when I didn't. I also owe you and Pete and Cassie a BIG thanks for your hospitality when I was in Australia and for taking time to make sure I experienced some of the sights and best wine that Tassie has to offer. Göran, thanks for being the greatest co-supervisor anyone could hope for. No matter how busy you were, you always made time for me. I hope that someday I will have the ability to perceive and resolve problems with as much incisive composure as you do.

To others with whom I have worked and spent time with in Flakaliden, you made my time in Norrland unforgettable! Pelle, thanks for your friendship, encouragement, for all the great barbeques and for accompanying me on some of the pre-dawn measurements in the dark. I wasn't so happy every time you scared me, but I was always happy that you were there. Your great company over the years has left me with many memories to cherish. Marianne, I'm happy to have worked with and known you these last years. We had lots of great times and I really enjoyed our road trips and travels together. I hope we can do more of it in the future! Bengt-Olov, I would probably still be up north looking for Flakaliden if it wasn't for you. I really enjoyed our time and our drives together and thanks for always being so helpful and happy! You were a BIG SUN in Flakaliden when it was otherwise covered in darkness, under a meter of snow. Gunnar, the most patient, empathetic and happy person I know. You always went out of your way to make daily life in Flakaliden a real treat. From buying ice cream for us on a hot summer day, cooking up a nice dinner at the station, or taking me up in the crane to take some great photos of the chambers. You made the seasons in the north something to really look forward to and I dearly miss our times together. And last but certainly not least, Janne. You also have incredible patience and for that I am grateful. Solving technical problems with you was always an adventure and I learned so much from working along side of you as well as through our many 'phone-side assistance' trouble-shooting sessions. Whenever I think about your hardy laugh, I smile. Heikki, thanks for your great enthusiasm and insight into the world of modelling and phenology. Working with you has been a pleasure and I am honoured to have had that opportunity. Mats, I appreciate the long marathon hours you punched on putting together and cleaning photosynthesis data. The more I talk with you about photosynthesis, the more I discover how much I have yet to learn.

To my best friends, who have encouraged me day after day and year after year, whether I needed it or not. You have made me the richest girl in the world and not a day goes by that I don't thank my lucky stars to have so many wonderful people in my life. Of course not all of you are mentioned, but that doesn't mean that you've been forgotten.

My sweet Mattias, Dessan (Desiree), Tove (Vovve), Johan and Andreas, thanks for all the yummy dinners, movie nights, bike rides and other shenanigans that keep us all entertained throughout the years. You have made life so enjoyable and hilarious! Words cannot express the gratitude I feel for everything you have done for me. From offering advice on big decisions that I was making (like buying an apartment), to helping me put together my 'himmelsäng' (homemade canopy bed), for bringing me piggelin (Popsicles) and taking care of me when I was sick, helping me evict unwanted pälsängrar (small little animals that eats your wool clothes) from my apartment, inviting me to spend Christmas with your family, for teaching me Swenglish (English mixed with Swedish words or intonations or is it Swedish mixed with English words that have Swedish intonations? Hmmm), for not letting me miss the best episodes of 'Family Guy', for installing and fixing whatever needed fixing, for bringing me presents from duty-free shops and for offering to accommodate me or my family whenever necessary. No matter what we are doing, I LOVE our time together! From all the favours that you've done, it still amazes me that you answer your phone whenever I call. If you look up 'Martyr' in Webster's Dictionary, you will find a picture of yourself! I can't imagine life without you...and I hope I never have to. An extremely big kiss and hug!

Pia o Madde, I thinks the world of ye two! I miss living so far away from ye but no matter where in the world ye are at the time (be it Ume, L.A, Lule or Dubai) you two are the rocks on which I can stand. I know I can always count on you and I hope you know I'll always be here for you (preferably in Malmö). You have both made me feel at home even if I can sometimes be confused about where I am (particularly when Madde speaks English with her Newfoundland dialect). Pia you have taught me how to 'be a whale' when I should just slow down and live and you always made sure I was never hungry or cold (I still boast the largest collection of Pia designed hats). You truly are an artist darling! Madde your scrapbook making skills are unsurpassable and your happy-go-lucky decorum rubs off on everyone you encounter. Thank you (both) for being you!

To my girls Karin, Sophia, Lisa and Anna, who amongst other things, taught me how much fun baking could be. I suppose my showing up to your traditional Christmas bake of lussebullar (*yummy buns made with rasins and saffron*) with chocolate pudding, bananas and whipped cream enticed you to invite me to the Easter baking of semlor (*a type of almond paste cream puff that is eaten on Fat Tuesday*), to see what I would show up with this time or to learn more about Canadian culture. And I gather you weren't too disappointed about the tomato soup cake since we have been the best of friends ever since! I love being 'one of the gang' and I insist that we see each other more frequently! You gals are absolutely fabulous and my life is so much better because ye are in it.

Mattias, Raquel, Elsa, Anders, Per-Åke, Britt, Catrin, Anders, Lukas and Elias, Monica and Bosse, you have been like a second family for me and I am so happy to know you all. I really hope we will always stay in touch and that Elsa and Lukas can continue teaching me Swedish through their honest and innocent facial expressions when I say something wrong, or by correcting me. It warms my heart (and my cheeks, when I start to blush) every time!

Eva, and Anne-lie, thanks for being such good friends. We've had so many good times and dinners together and I hope we will have many many more. I really love our late night chats and I'm so lucky to have friends like you that I can always count on and with whom I have soooo much fun! Jens, I hope we'll always be neighbours and I'm glad I finally finished my thesis so you can see for yourself that I actually was working while I was spending all that time in the forest. Thanks for being such a good friend and the BEST neighbour.

John and Susan, who are otherwise known as my 'other mudder and fadder'. You two will always have a special place in my heart and I thank you for treating me as you would your own daughter. Sweden misses you guys, albeit not as much as I do.

I also wish to thank my best friends that have been so kind to visit, write, phone, email, or just make life so special for me over the years. Your thoughtfulness and encouragement mean the world to me and I know that ye will always be in my life. Special hugs to Hrefna, Johan and Eskil, Tammy and Neil, Heather (my heroine), Jolene, Nic (a.k.a. Haguey, and your sweet mom and dad), Bonnie and Gordon, Marsha (and family, for tolerating our marathon phone conversations), Todd (Theopholis), Connie (Constance), Kim and Aaron, Kenny (a.k.a. Collins), Carol (my 'soul-sister') and Glenn, Duane (and of course Mrs. Marg and Mr. Joe), Adrienne, Ingrid (the reason why Jamaica is so sunny), Ishi, Maik, Anna (a.k.a. Anna banana), Oskan, Matte and Henke (who is one great big teddy bear).

For the time I spent in Uppsala, thanks to all my colleagues, especially Peter Eliasson (my dear friend whom I truly miss) and Jeremy-Flower Ellis, with whom I could always pop by and discuss anything (I would like to discuss style sheets next time we meet, after we catch up on everything).

Thanks to everyone at Svartberget, especially Tomas Lundmark, Kristina and Thomas (Ulvcrona), Gunnar, Ulla and Lena, who helped out with whatever equipment or assistance I needed and who took extra measures to make sure I felt at home during the summers.

A big thanks to my friends and colleagues in Alnarp, who have made every day at the office one that left me with a smile on my face. Thanks Oriana (I can't think of anyone I would rather share an office with), Jonas, Maria, Torkel and Rolf (I really love our lunch breaks together and all the 'coddin' around'), Karin, Claes, Mayra, Nisse, Mattias, Kerstin (Sonesson) and Gunilla, I really enjoyed the afterwork time we spent together (as well as at the office). Johan (Kotte), thanks for always being available to answer questions, read a manuscript or spend some extra minutes after coffee to just listen to whatever was on my mind. It's been no nice to have your support and supervision and I hope we will have the chance to work together more in the future. Margareta, Janet and Kerstin, your constant help and assistance is no small feat and you deserve a really HUGE hug. Your great attitudes, patience and permanent smiles add so much light and life to our department. Ye are the thread that really holds us together.

I want to say a special thanks to Torkel, who has not only been a co-supervisor, but who has also been a mentor and a great friend. Whenever I am curious about something, rather than give me the answer directly, you always manage to ask questions that makes me think for myself. Sometimes, I discover that I already know the answer, and if I don't, you straighten things out for me. You are always willing to help me when I need it and you've never stopped trying to convince me that 'I am good enough'. Your approach to life, science and art are an inspiration and I hope you know how appreciated you are.

To my Great Great Uncle Pat and Aunt Mary (and no, great great is not a typo, they are my grandmother's aunt and uncle, which makes them my great great aunt and uncle-for those of you who don't know), your wisdom and good nature are like rare gems and I wish I could keep you in my pocket so you wouldn't have to be so far away from me. I miss you and love you and I hope you know you are always in my thoughts, in everything I do.

And how is a girl to thank her family when she is lucky enough to have TWO incredible families?! It's difficult to know where to start because you have all been so important to me throughout this process as well as through everything else in my life. Mom and 'Dad' (John), Dad and 'Mom' (Brenda), you have always believed in me and your ardent support has influenced every decision I ever made. Ye always let me find my own path and do whatever made me happy and for that I can't thank you enough. Just so you know, I'm happier than any girl could dream of and I'm pretty sure Newtel (the Newfoundland telephone company) are happy about my decision to move away also. Too bad we didn't buy shares back in 1997 when I first left home. Then there are my sweet brothers (3) and sisters (3); Deon and Melissa, I hope someday we can live closer to each other so I won't have to spend so much time telling everyone how special ye are and how proud I am of you both. Well, I'd probably still do that, but just imagine how much fun we could have on a regular basis!! Words cannot express how much I miss you and how wonderful it is being your sister. Thank you Tanya, Kirk, Karen and David (my bonus brothers and sisters) who have always made me feel missed and loved. Nanny, and all my aunts and uncles, who incessantly encouraged me to keep going, thank you all!